

City of Laconia
Zoning Board of Adjustment
Tuesday, January 20, 2026 - 6:30 PM
City Hall in the Armand A. Bolduc City Council Chamber

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. RECORDING SECRETARY
4. STAFF IN ATTENDANCE
5. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

5.I. 12/15/2025 Minutes

Documents:

[12.15 MINUTES.PDF](#)

6. EXTENSIONS
7. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE VOTE Note: The Purpose Of This Agenda Section Is For The Board To Continue The Public Hearing For The Applicant And The Public To Provide Input. The Board May Also Deliberate The Application, Decide And Conduct A Final Vote At This Time.
8. PUBLIC HEARINGS, POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION AND VOTE Note: The Purpose Of This Agenda Section Is For The Board To Have A Presentation From The Applicant And Open A Public Hearing For The Public To Provide Input. The Board May Also Deliberate The Application, Decide And Conduct A Final Vote At This Time.

8.I. ZB2026-026; 122 Paugus Park Rd (287-178-7)

The applicant is seeking a variance to permit the relief from the 70% required green space in order to construct additions on both sides of the house.

Documents:

[APPLICATION.PDF](#)
[SITE PLANS.PDF](#)
[INTERNAL PRINTS.PDF](#)
[IMAGES.PDF](#)

8.II. ZB2026-027; 15 Doe Ave (145-64-4)

The applicant is seeking a variance to allow 5' setback on the west side property line.

Documents:

[APPLICATION.PDF](#)

[SURVEY.PDF](#)

8.III. ZB2026-029; 17 Wentworth Cove Rd (255-241-2)

The applicant is seeking a variance to permit the encroachment of 81 sq ft of a raised deck into the 50' setback from Lake Winnepesaukee.

Documents:

[APPLICATION.PDF](#)
[SITE PLANS.PDF](#)

8.IV. ZB2026-030; 238 White Oaks Rd (167-269-1)

The applicant is seeking a Special Exception to allow for the use of a campground in the RR1 and RR2 Districts.

Documents:

[APPLICATION.PDF](#)
[NARRATIVE.PDF](#)
[BUILDING PLANS.PDF](#)
[IMAGE.PDF](#)
[PROPERTY CARD.PDF](#)
[WHITE OAKS UPDATED APPRAISER OPINION.PDF](#)
[LETTER IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION ZB2026-018 11.14.25.PDF](#)
[WOR PG 1.PDF](#)

9. OTHER BUSINESS

9.I. ZB2026-028; 33 White Oaks Rd (278-241-29)

The applicant is requesting a rehearing of application ZB2025-022 in accordance with RSA 677.

ZB2025-22: This application was approved by the Zoning Board on November 17, 2025. The applicant was granted a variance from Article 235 15 C (2) Application of the CR district dimensional requirements for a distance of 500' from the district boundary whereas 100' is otherwise allowed.

Documents:

[MOTION FOR REHEARING.PDF](#)

10. ADJOURNMENT

This meeting facility is ADA accessible. Any person with a disability who wishes to attend this public meeting and needs additional accommodations, please contact the department at (603) 527-1264 at least 72 hours in advance so necessary arrangements can be made.

City of Laconia
Zoning Board of Adjustment
Monday, December 15, 2025 - 6:30 PM
City Hall Conference Room 200A

Accepted 1/20/2026

12/15/2018 - Minutes

1. CALL TO ORDER

M. DellaVecchia calls the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

2. ROLL CALL

Chair Michael DellaVecchia, Marcia Hayward, Jane Laroche, Richard Boddie, Tarra Sorell, Tim Whitney, and Councilor Eric Hoffman are present.

3. RECORDING SECRETARY

Greyson Draper, Planning Technician

4. STAFF IN ATTENDANCE

Robert Mora, Planning Director

Greyson Draper, Planning Technician

5. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

M. Hayward motions to accept the corrected minutes as posted. J. Laroche seconds the motion. Unanimous. The minutes are accepted as posted.

6. EXTENSIONS

7. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE VOTE Note: The Purpose Of This Agenda Section Is For The Board To Continue The Public Hearing For The Applicant And The Public To Provide Input. The Board May Also Deliberate The Application, Decide And Conduct A Final Vote At This Time.

7.I. ZB2026-017 371 White Oaks Rd

The applicant is seeking a Variance to allow for multiple uses on a single lot of record.

R. Boddie Recuses himself.

Dari Sassan and Jeremy Fisher of Brown Engineering present the application.

M. Hayward asks where the nearby cluster developments were referred to in the presentation. D. Sassan answers Lady of the Lakes. R. Mora shares that both Lady of the Lakes and Paugus Woods are cluster developments on White Oaks Rd. which are in RR1. M. DellaVecchia asks if someone will live in the home on the proposed campground and if they will run it. D. Sassan answers that is the applicant's intent. T. Mahoney will continue to live in the residence and act as the caretaker of the campground. M. Hayward explains that presentation mentioned that the campground complements the historic, scenic, and agricultural values of that district. She asks how this proposal complements historic values. D. Sassan answers he feels that part of celebrating the city's history is creating opportunities for visitors to enjoy the city.

Councilor Hoffman asks if the campground will be connected to public sewer but not public water. D. Sassan confirms this is correct. Councilor Hoffman clarifies that the sewer main they plan to connect is not existing. J. Fisher, the project designer and engineer, confirms that this is correct. He explains that they are working on that with DPW. This project would allow the applicant to further tie into the proposed sewer system. Currently, they are on septic. If this project is approved, they can then divert all that sewage from the ground and into the actual sewage system. Councilor Hoffman asks what the construction timeline will be. J. Fisher answers that the timeline would be up to the owner. T. Mahoney responds that he would like to begin construction as soon as possible and to be operational by the beginning of summer.

Councilor Hoffman sites that the plans say the force main that they are planning to connect to will not be installed until the summer. T. Mahoney responds that he is not sure yet regarding the construction timeline and beginning work. Those details are still being worked on. M. Hayward asks about the water plans for the campground. She asks if they have wells. J. Fisher answers that they have multiple existing wells on site and that there is enough capacity for a small site like the one proposed. This is a very small-scale campground.

T. Sorell asks if there is a limitation on the size of the RV's. J. Fisher answers that would be that would be decided by the owner, which could be a condition of approval as you see fit. D. Sassan confirms this and believes that RV's will be 400 sq ft and 30 ft in length or less. J. Fisher explains that he feels the sites could not support a manufactured home anyways.

M. Hayward asks if the campground will be seasonal or rent-and-go. T. Mahoney responds that plans to likely have a two-week maximum rental. There will be traffic in and out of the site. M. DellaVecchia asks about the location of the RV driveway. J. Fisher uses the plans to show the driveway to the board. M. DellaVecchia asks about the distance of the closest abutter, as they may be disturbed by incoming and outgoing RV traffic. T. Mahoney explains that they are abutted by conservation land with no existing homes.

M. DellaVecchia opens public comment at 6:47 PM.

Glenn Joyce opposes the application. He expresses concern about this application significantly changing the White Oaks Rd. neighborhood as previously approved variance already have. He feels this application conflicts with the purpose of the RR1 & RR2 zones.

Richard Boddie of 491 White Oaks Rd. opposes the application. This would be the first business on White Oaks Rd. White Oaks Rd. is already busy, now about 200 new living units have been constructed off of the road in the six years he has resided here. There are an additional 200 units being constructed which are connected to White Oaks Rd. as well. R. Boddie asks the board why White Oaks Rd. is seemingly bearing the brunt of Laconia's housing needs.

D. Sassan returns to the podium and explains that the applicant is not proposing housing. He also confirms with the board that G. Joyce and R. Boddie are not direct abutters to the subject property.

D. Sassan of Brown Engineering asks for a letter of support to be read into the minutes.

Ian Bird Abutter Letter:

Hi Troy and anybody reading,

Troy and I spoke today and walked some of his property to discuss his plans for campground as an abut and neighbor. I think his idea will be great for the area and I am happy with how organized Troy is and how well-kept his land is. Troy mentioned there may be future need to cross my land to connect to utilities. I am not opposed to this as long as I'm kept in the loop and my access from White Oaks Road onto my property is respected. I am aware that while that work takes place, I might temporarily lose that access. The city has a conservation easement on my property, so I'm sure the conservation

department would need to be involved in that discussion as well. I look forward to seeing future progress and wish Troy all the best.

Ian Bird of 255 White Oaks Rd.

Thank you.

M. DellaVecchia closes public comment at 6:53 PM.

M. DellaVecchia invites discussion amongst the board. J. LaRoche is in favor of the application. She feels the campground could be an economic benefit for the area.

M. Hayward explains that she feels the campground could be located in another zone where it is permitted. She believes this campground would change the character of the neighborhood, as this would be the only business on White Oaks Rd. She is concerned about traffic and feels White Oaks Rd. is already very developed. She is not in favor of the application. Councilor Hoffman clarifies that this applicant's variance application is for mixed use and their special exception application is for the RV campground. M. Hayward confirms this.

Councilor Hoffman clarifies that to deny a special exception application, the application must violate one of the specific special exception criteria. M. Hayward questions if the applicant would continue with their special exception application if their variance application were denied. Councilor Hoffman explains that he is sensitive to the plight of the people on White Oaks Rd. The ZBA receives an application for a property on White Oaks Rd. every meeting. He feels that there is undeveloped land and people are buying this land to develop it. M. Hayward questions if it is the ZBA's responsibility to protect the undeveloped land from development, especially in the Rural Residential zones. Councilor Hoffman does not believe it is the ZBA's responsibility to protect the undeveloped land. M. Hayward questions if this applicant changes the character of the neighborhood by putting in a business rather than a residence. Councilor Hoffman counters that people who were attracted to White Oaks Rd. for its rural character would view any development as a change to the neighborhood. T. Sorell supports the application. She likes the proposal because this would be a small campground in a great, rural location with short-term rentals.

M. DellaVecchia is concerned with screening from the road. He questions if it would take away from the rural atmosphere to see 16 trailers from White Oak Rd. J. LaRoche likes recreation-focused proposals and is in support of this application. T. Whitney acknowledges the setback of the campground from the road but is concerned about approving a commercial use in a residential area and what that will entail. J. LaRoche feels that since the application is not for a retail store, it should not create an increase in traffic. J. LaRoche is not concerned about the proposed water mane.

Councilor Hoffman does not see a reason to deny it. So, he is in favor of the application. M. DellaVecchia discusses how White Oaks Rd. is heavily trafficked now and every time an applicant wants to build a development there, the board hears about the big traffic problems. J. LaRoche feels that if the applicant were building single-family homes, there would be a lot more traffic than the proposed campground may generate. T. Sorell points out that not all 16 RV sites will be rented at all times. M. DellaVecchia believes that there is a need for them and that the campground will fill in the summer. J. LaRoche believes this campground is better than more Airbnb's.

J. LaRoche motions to approve the application. Councilor Hoffman seconds the motion. M. DellaVecchia calls for a vote. J. LaRoche, E. Hoffman, and T. Sorell vote in favor. M. Hayward and T. Whitney vote in opposition. M. DellaVecchia abstains. With three in favor and two opposing and one abstention, the motion passes. The application is approved.

7.II.ZB2026-017 371 White Oaks Rd

The applicant is seeking a special exception to allow for a campground to exist in the RR1 where it is by special exception.

R. Boddie remains recused from this application.

M. DellaVeccia asks now that the use is okay if the board should review their special exception application. R. Mora explains that M. DellaVeccia must open the application to the public for the opportunity to comment as well, since it a separate application.

M. DellaVecchia opens public comment at 7:04 PM.

G. Joyce returns to the podium. He feels that the ZBA is not adhering to the purpose of the RR1 and RR2 zones. He explains that the RR zones were created to maintain open spaces on the road including Parade Road, Meredith Center Road, and White Oaks Road. He points out that the City of Laconia set the RR zones 30 years ago for this purpose. He feels that today, variances seemingly are so easy to come by. It seems like anytime anybody comes up for a variance and if there's no opposition then then it goes through. There is reason to maintain the RR zones since their purpose is being disregarded in granting use variances. He feels that the City should just maintain its existing open spaces. He is not opposing campground, but he believes it should be in a different location.

R. Boddie returns to the podium. He reminds the board that Stephen Doody of 398 White Oaks Rd. wrote a letter to the ZBA in opposition of this campground. He also suggests potentially creating a buffer in between the RR1 and RR2 zones to keep the proposed campground out of the RR2 zone.

D. Sassan responds to the public comments. He explains that he believes the lot has a 75 ft setback. He also believes that the first RV would be about 150 ft back from the property line. J. Fisher explains that he has toured the property twice. He explains that the proposed residence is at the rear of the property, which he has not been able to see from White Oaks Rd. He also offers the board visual representation should they need it to make a decision.

D. Sassan adds the T. Mahoney is willing to plant screening plants as well. This would be an acceptable condition of approval. He adds that this application must go through the planning board also.

M. DellaVecchia closes public comment at 7:10 PM.

Councilor Hoffman asks R. Mora if campgrounds are allowed by special exception because the property is in the RR zone. R. Mora confirms this. Councilor Hoffman asks R. Mora what zones allow campgrounds by special exception. R. Mora answers that campgrounds are allowed by a special exception in RR1, RR2, and SFR. They are not permitted in every other district and the only district where they are allowed by a conditional use permit is the commercial resort zone. Councilor Hoffman follows by explaining that this application is not contrary to the to the spirit of the ordinance that a campground be here because it is one of the zones allows them by special exception. R. Mora agrees. M. Hayward suggests that an applicant could build a campground in six other zones without a special exception. She refers to the CR, C, U, IP, and AI as zones in which a special exception is not needed for a campground. She asks if this would be possible because of the mixed use. R. Mora responds that the special exception is allowing the applicant the use of the campground. He explains that the City allows campgrounds by special exception in RR1, RR2, SFR; and the CR with a conditional use. The variance that the applicant was approved for is for mixed use to allow them to have two primary uses on the lot. The applicant is requesting to have an owner-occupied campground. This would be the owner's primary residence. The variance for having two primary uses on the lot. The special exception is for that is for the use of the campground.

J. LaRoche makes a motion to accept application ZB02026-017 where a special exception is requested for the use of a campground RV tent as specifically authorized by zoning ordinance table of permitted uses article 235 section 26 as specified by the applicant. Councilor Hoffman seconds the motion. M. DellaVeccia calls for

further discussion by the board. M. Hayward explains that the only criteria under the special exception that she believes the application does not meet is number six because it alters the character of the neighborhood. Councilor Hoffman asks if the board should make it a condition of the approval that the applicant must screen the campground from the road. M. Hayward explains that he can add a provision with number five. M. DellaVeccia asks if the board wants to add a special provision. M. Hayward responds no but maybe Councilor Hoffman does. Councilor Hoffman asks if he must make a motion to add a special provision. R. Mora explains that it would be an amendment to the original motion to add a condition of whatever you're looking to add. Councilor Hoffman amends the original motion to add a condition of approval that the RV sites be screened from the road by plantings. M. DellaVeccia asks if the board would like to continue with any further discussion. T. Sorell clarifies that this application still has to be reviewed by the planning board as well. M. DellaVeccia confirms that this is correct. M. DellaVeccia calls for further discussion by the board. There is no further discussion. M. DellaVeccia calls for a vote. M. DellaVecchia calls for a vote. J. Laroche, E. Hoffman, T. Whitney, and T. Sorell vote in favor. M. Hayward votes in opposition. M. DellaVecchia abstains. With four in favor and one opposing and one abstention, the motion passes. The application is approved. D. Sassan thanks the city staff and says they have been extremely helpful.

8. PUBLIC HEARINGS, POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION AND VOTE Note: The Purpose Of This Agenda Section Is For The Board To Have A Presentation From The Applicant And Open A Public Hearing For The Public To Provide Input. The Board May Also Deliberate The Application, Decide And Conduct A Final Vote At This Time.

9. OTHER BUSINESS

- 9.I. Planning Board Monthly Report

- R. Mora shares the monthly Planning Report with the board

10. ADJOURNMENT

- M. Hayward motions to adjourn. J. Laroche seconds the motion. Unanimous. The meeting adjourns at 7:14 PM

- Minutes respectfully submitted by:

- Greyson Draper, Planning Technician

This meeting facility is ADA accessible. Any person with a disability who wishes to attend this public meeting and needs additional accommodations, please contact the department at (603) 527-1264 at least 72 hours in advance so necessary arrangements can be made.



Application #:
Fees Paid:
Check #:
Receipt #:

231026-0260
\$175.00
24083

ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT VARIANCE APPLICATION

Name of Applicant: Marie C. McKay Revocable Trust

Mailing Address: 15 Back Road, Chichester, NH 03258

Owner (If same as applicant, write "same"): Same

Mailing Address: Same

Tax Map/ Lot # (s): Tax Map 287, Lot 178-7 Zoning District (s): RS w/ Shoreland Overlay

Street Address: 122 Paugus Park Road, Laconia NH 03247

OWNER AUTHORIZATIONS & STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE:

I hereby make application to the City of Laconia for the above-referenced property (ies) and the development as described. To the best of my knowledge the information provided herein is accurate and is in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance and land use regulations of the City, except where waivers are requested. The City of Laconia Zoning Board and/or city employees are authorized to enter the property (ies) for purposes of reviewing this proposal and for inspecting improvements as a result of an approval of this proposal. I understand that I am responsible for appearing, or having someone appear on my behalf, at any and all meetings before the Zoning Board.

Sign as appropriate (If agent or non-person please attach certification)

NOTE: Please attach an Applicant Contact Worksheet

PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Marie McKay

Printed Name Here
Signed by:

Marie McKay
Signature of Property Owner(s)

11/14/2025

Date

AGENT(S)

Joseph Berry

Printed Name Here

Joseph Berry
Signature of Agent(s)

12-10-25

Date

NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if the space provided is inadequate.

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS:

- Abutters List (from <https://www.axisgis.com/LaconiaNH/>)
- Envelopes and Certified Mail Receipts (Filled out for all abutters)
- Plot Plan (Showing dimensions of proposed building, setback requirements, and encroachments into any setbacks.)

235-19 (F) (2) (d)

A variance is requested from article IV section of the Zoning Ordinance to permit the relief from the 70% required green space in order to construct additions on both side of the house.

Facts in support of granting the Variance:

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:

See attached narrative

2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because:

See attached narrative

3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:

See attached narrative

4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:

See attached narrative

5. Unnecessary Hardship

a. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:

i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

See attached narrative

ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:

See attached narrative

-or-

b. If the criteria in subparagraph (a) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

See attached narrative



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road

Barrington, NH 03825

Phone: (603) 332-2863

Fax: (603) 335-4623

www.BerrySurveying.Com

September 25, 2025

Laconia Zoning Board of Adjustment
45 Beacon Street E
Laconia, NH 03246

RE: Marie C. McKay Revocable Trust
Variance Request
Article IV, Section 235-19(F)(2)(d)
Tax Map 287, Lot 178-7
122 Paugus Park Road

Chair Person and Members of the Laconia Zoning Board of Adjustment,

On behalf of the Marie C. McKay Revocable Trust, Berry Surveying & Engineering (BS&E) is submitting a variance request for your review regarding Article IV, Section 235-19(F)(2)(d). Specifically, the applicant is seeking relief from the 70% green space requirement to allow for the construction of an addition to the existing home.

BS&E has conducted a complete boundary and existing conditions plan in preparation for this request as well as a request to New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) Shoreland Program. Please find the Existing Conditions Plan, and Proposed Conditions Plan and construction details.

The parcel consists of an existing non-conforming single-family home on an existing non-conforming lot. The existing parcel contains 60.75' of frontage and 0.25± acres of land.

The proposal involves constructing an addition and a covered porch on the westerly and southerly sides of the home, generally the front, and away from the water. The proposed addition will comply with all required NHDES & City setbacks.

As part of the project, the applicant is also proposing stormwater management improvements that meet NHDES Shoreland and local stormwater standards. These measures are designed to improve lake water quality. Specifically, all roof runoff from the south side of the house will be collected and directed into a dry well located at the southeast rear corner of the home.

Currently, the site includes a paved driveway extending from Paugus Park Road to the house. The proposal includes replacing this with porous pavement from the property boundary to the garage. This modification will reduce the impervious surface area and allow for infiltration of driveway runoff. Overall, the project will result in a 3.33% reduction in direct impervious surface coverage, and reduces the effective impervious coverage (EIC) by a considerable amount more.

1. Article IV, Section 235-19(F)(2)(d). – relief from the 70% green space requirement

To the merits of the five statutory criteria for the Zoning Board to grant a variance we offer the following:

1. *"Granting of the variance would not be contrary to the public interest."*
 - The public interest, with respect to this section is to ensure there is a balance of development on project sites with the retainage of vegetation. The fundamental purpose of this within the location is to ensure water quality to lake is maintained from project sites. However, in this case, as noted above, the green space is being replaced with other porous technology, and therefore there is no degradation in the stormwater running to the lake. With the approval of the variance, there will be no notable difference in this property upon completion and will be more conforming with others on the street. For this reason, there will be no change in the essential character of the neighborhood.
2. *"Granting the variance would be consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance."*
 - Much like the public interest, the spirit of the ordinance is to ensure proper development of properties along the lake. As noted above, though the green space is being reduced, the impervious surface is also being reduced by an innovative technology. Additionally, the runoff from the addition on the home is being captured and sent to an infiltration device, further improving stormwater on the site. Due to all of the benefits, the health, welfare and safety of the public is met with regards to the spirit of the green space requirements.
3. *"Granting of the variance would do substantial justice."*
 - The benefit to the applicant far outweighs any potential detriment to the ordinance by allowing the applicant to expand while improving stormwater on site, which is the underlying purpose of the green space requirement. The site's stormwater quality is improved with the implementation of Low Impact Development (LID) devices, which is in line with the local stormwater ordinances and with the NHDES Shoreland program.
4. *"Granting the variance will not result in diminution of surrounding property values."*
 - The surrounding properties will not be diminished in value by the construction of the addition on the single-family home in a single family neighborhood. In fact, it will bring the current home closer to conforming with the sizing currently found on the street and therefore will help raise abutting values.
5. *"Special conditions exist such that literal enforcement of the Ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship to the applicant as defined under applicable law."*
 - In this case the special condition of this parcel is its non-conforming lot size when compared to the impervious foot print – green space foot print, when compared to others in the area. There is no reasonable alternative to this addition, when compared to the other surrounding uses, while considering the vast stormwater management improvement proposed despite the redevelopment. Therefore,




denial will cause an unnecessary hardship to the applicant. Though non-conformity in size is not special to the subject lot, the general size of the lot and the dimensions of the home are when compared to the abutting lots to the east and west.

- The use is reasonable when compared to others in the area. The home is currently multiple hundred square feet smaller than the closest abutters. Each of the abutting lots have non-conformity in structure or impervious foot print which make this proposal as reasonable, if not more so, than the other like uses in the area.

Please let us know if you have further questions.

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING


Christopher R. Berry – Project Manager
Principal, President



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Pt. Rd., Barrington, NH 03825

(603) 332-2863 / (603) 335-4623 FAX

www.BerrySurveying.Com



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road

Barrington, NH 03825

Phone: (603) 332-2863

Fax: (603) 335-4623

www.BerrySurveying.Com

September 16, 2025

Re: Letter of Authorization
Marie C. McKay Revocable Trust
Variance request
122 Paugus Park Road

To whom it may concern:

We, the owners of Tax Map 287, Lot 178-7 authorize any member of Berry Surveying & Engineering to represent us as the agents for a project located in Laconia, NH on Paugus Park Road for the purpose of lot development. This includes any and all local, state and federal approvals needed for final approval of the project.

Signed by: Marie McKay 11/14/2025
Owner 8DF01782A10A421...



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road

Barrington, NH 03825

Phone: (603) 332-2863

Fax: (603) 335-4623

www.BerrySurveying.Com

December 10, 2025

Abutters List

Owner of Record

Tax Map 287, Lot 178-7

Marie C McKay Rev Trust
Marie C McKay Trustee
15 Back Rd
Chichester, NH 03258
Book 2818, Page 966

Abutters

Tax Map 287, Lot 178-6

Antonius T & Karen M Bentlage
118 Paugus Park Rd
Laconia, NH 03246
Book 3286, Page 986

Tax Map 287, Lot 178-8

Maria E Cantor Trust
Maria E Cantor Trustee
128 Paugus Park Rd
Laconia, NH 03246
Book 3560, Page 353

Professionals

Kenneth A. Berry, PE
Christopher R. Berry, LLS
Berry Surveying & Engineering
335 Second Crown Point Road
Barrington, NH 03825



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, ZONING & CODE
45 BEACON STREET, EAST
☎ 603-527-1264
📠 603-524-2164

APPLICANT CONTACT SHEET

Application Type: Variance Application #: _____

Name of Applicant: Marie C. Mckay Revocable Trust

Mailing Address: 15 Back Road, Chichester, NH 03258

Phone: 603-493-2417 E-mail: mckay.m@comcast.net

Name of Agent: Joseph Berry or any member of Berry Surveying & Engineering

Mailing Address: 335 Second Crown Point Road, Barrington, NH 03825

Phone: 603-332-2863 E-mail: joeberry@berrysurveying.com

Property Owner 1: Same as applicant

Mailing Address: _____

Phone: _____ E-mail: _____

Property Owner 2: Same as applicant

Mailing Address: _____

Phone: _____ E-mail: _____

Emergency Contact Person: Joseph Berry Phone: 603-332-2863

#1



#3



#2



#4



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143

#5



#7



#6



#8



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143

#9



#11



#10



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143





PAUGUS BAY

PAUGUS BAY

RS

PAUGUS BAY








Marie McKay
122 Paugus Park Road
Laconia, N.H.


Title: McKay Addition & Remodel
Revised: 6-26-2025
By: OLC, JRL
Revised: 5/21/25



Ground Floor

Marie McKay
122 Paugus Park Road
Laconia, N.H.


Title: McKay Addition & Remodel
Revised: 6-26-2025
By: OLC, JRC
Revised: 5/2/25



1st Floor

Marie McKay
122 Paugus Park Road
Laconia, N.H.

Title: McKay Addition & Remodel
Revised: 6-28-2025
By: OLC, JRL
Revised: 5/21/25



2nd Floor

PLAN REFERENCES:

- "PLAN OF SHORE LOTS; FOR; W.M. BISSON"
BY: L.K. PERLEY
DATED: AUGUST 23, 1931
B.C.R.D. PLAN #PB1-34 & 35
- "LEW PERLEY FIELDBOOK DATA"
BY: L.K. PERLEY
ON FILE AT NH STATE ARCHIVES
- "RIGHT-OF-WAY AND TRACK MAP; THE CONCORD & MONTREAL R.R."
BY: OFFICE OF VALUATION ENGINEERS
DATED: JUNE 30, 1914
V.21-66
ON FILE WITH NHDOT AND THIS OFFICE
- "PLAN OF PAUGUS PARK SHORE LOTS EXTENSION; FOR; W.M. BISSON"
BY: UNKNOWN (ASSUMED TO BE PERLEY)
DATED: AUGUST 11, 1944
B.C.R.D. PLAN #PB18, PAGE 1370
- "FOUNDATION LOCATION PLAN; LOCATED ON; 128 PAUGUS PARK ROAD, LACONIA"
BY: DMC SURVEYORS
DATED: DECEMBER 13, 2008
ON FILE AT THE CITY OF LACONIA
- "BOUNDARY PLAN; LAND OF; MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST; 122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD; LACONIA, N.H.; TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7"
BY: BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING
DATED: FEBRUARY 5, 2025
FILE #DB2024-143

SECTION AREAS:


REFERENCE LINE TO 50'	3,205 Sq.Ft.
50' TO 150'	6,201 Sq.Ft.
150' TO PROPERTY LINE	1,468 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL AREA WITHIN 250' OF REFERENCE LINE:	10,904 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL LOT AREA:	10,904 Sq.Ft.

EXISTING IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS:

TOTAL LOT AREA WITHIN 250'	10,904 Sq.Ft.
EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	
HOUSE, OVERHANGS & DECKS	1,472 Sq.Ft.
DRIVEWAY	1,629 Sq.Ft.
WOODEN DOCK	123 Sq. Ft.
STONE STEPS	25 Sq.Ft.
SHED	7 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	3,256 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % IMPERVIOUS:	29.86 %
TOTAL EXISTING UN-ALTERED:	0 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % UN-ALTERED:	0.00 %

LEGEND:

IRON PIPE ~FND~	50' WATERFRONT BUFFER (NHDES)
REBAR ~FND~	150' WOODLAND BUFFER LINE (NHDES)
UTILITY POLE	OVERHEAD UTILITIES
PHOTO POINT	REFERENCE LINE
PROPERTY LINE	BUILDING SETBACK LINE
BENCHMARK	
TREES	
50 NHDES	
150 NHDES	
FND	FOUND
TYP	TYPICAL
B.C.R.D.	BELKNAP COUNTY REGISTRY OF DEEDS



NOTES:

- OWNER: MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST
15 BACK ROAD
CHESTER, NH 03258
- LOT OF RECORD: TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7
- LOT AREA: 10,904 Sq. Ft. ±, 0.25 Ac. ±
- B.C.R.D.: BOOK 2818, PAGE 966
- ZONING: RS W/SHORELAND PROTECTION OVERLAY
FRONT SETBACK: 25.0'
SIDE SETBACK: 10.0'
REAR SETBACK: 15.0'
LAKE SETBACK: 50.0'
MAX. STRUCTURE HEIGHT: 35.0'
MINIMUM GREEN AREA REQUIRED: 60% PER RS ZONE
MINIMUM GREEN AREA REQUIRED: 70% PER SHORELAND OVERLAY
- I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE & BELIEF, A PORTION OF THIS PARCEL DOES FALL WITHIN THE FLOOD PLAN FLOOD HAZARD REF: FEMA, COMMUNITY# 330005, MAP# - 33000500048, DATED: AUGUST 15, 1980, ZONE A1, ELEV. - 506
- VERTICAL DATUM BASED ON USGS NAVD88 ELEVATIONS. HORIZONTAL COORDINATES BASED ON NAD83. COORDINATES GATHERED USING CARLSON BRX7 SURVEY GRADE GPS RECEIVERS.
- THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO SHOW THE EXISTING CONDITIONS OF TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7 AS OF THE DATE OF THE FIELD SURVEY ON DECEMBER 18, 2024.

LAKE WINNEPESAUKEE
"PAUGUS BAY"
"LAKE PAUGUS"

GRAPHIC SCALE

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 10 ft.

REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION
EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN LAND OF MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST 122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD LACONIA, N.H. TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7		
BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING 335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD. BARRINGTON, N.H. (603)332-2863		
SCALE : 1 IN. EQUALS 10 FT.		
DATE : SEPTEMBER 11, 2025		
FILE NO. : DB 2024 - 143		

PLAN REFERENCES:

- "PLAN OF SHORE LOTS; FOR: W.M. BISSON"
BY: L.K. PERLEY
DATED: AUGUST 23, 1931
B.C.R.D. PLAN #P81-34 & 35
- "LEW PERLEY FIELDBOOK DATA"
BY: L.K. PERLEY
ON FILE AT NH STATE ARCHIVES
- "RIGHT-OF-WAY AND TRACK MAP; THE CONCORD & MONTREAL R.R."
BY: OFFICE OF VALUATION ENGINEERS
DATED: JUNE 30, 1914
V.21-66
ON FILE WITH NHDOT AND THIS OFFICE
- "PLAN OF PAUGUS PARK SHORE LOTS EXTENSION; FOR: W.M. BISSON"
BY: UNKNOWN (ASSUMED TO BE PERLEY)
DATED: AUGUST 11, 1944
B.C.R.D. PLAN #P818, PAGE 1370
- "FOUNDATION LOCATION PLAN; LOCATED ON; 128 PAUGUS PARK ROAD, LACONIA"
BY: DMC SURVEYORS
DATED: DECEMBER 13, 2008
ON FILE AT THE CITY OF LACONIA
- "BOUNDARY PLAN; LAND OF: MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST; 122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD; LACONIA, N.H.; TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7"
BY: BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING
DATED: FEBRUARY 5, 2025
FILE #DB2024-143

SECTION AREAS:

REFERENCE LINE TO 50'	3,205 Sq.Ft.
50' TO 150'	6,201 Sq.Ft.
150' TO PROPERTY LINE	1,468 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL AREA WITHIN 250' OF REFERENCE LINE:	10,904 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL LOT AREA:	10,904 Sq.Ft.

EXISTING IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS:

TOTAL LOT AREA WITHIN 250'	10,904 Sq.Ft.
EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	
HOUSE, OVERHANGS & DECKS	1,472 Sq.Ft.
DRIVEWAY	1,629 Sq.Ft.
WOODEN DOCK	123 Sq.Ft.
STONE STEPS	25 Sq.Ft.
SHED	7 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	3,256 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % IMPERVIOUS:	29.86 %
TOTAL EXISTING UN-ALTERED:	0 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % UN-ALTERED:	0.00 %

PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS:


TOTAL LOT AREA WITHIN 250'	10,904 Sq.Ft.
PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS:	
HOUSE, OVERHANGS & DECKS	2,738 Sq.Ft.
DRIVEWAY	0 Sq.Ft.
WOODEN DOCK	123 Sq.Ft.
STONE STEPS	25 Sq.Ft.
SHED	7 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS:	2,893 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % IMPERVIOUS:	26.53 %
TOTAL EXISTING UN-ALTERED:	0 Sq.Ft.
TOTAL EXISTING % UN-ALTERED:	0.00 %

LACONIA GREEN SPACE CALCULATIONS:

TOTAL LOT AREA WITHIN 250'	10,904 Sq.Ft.
REQUIRED GREEN SPACE:	7,633 Sq.Ft. (70.00%)
EXISTING CONDITIONS PROVIDED:	7,127 Sq.Ft. (65.36%)
PROPOSED CONDITIONS PROVIDED:	6,199 Sq.Ft. (56.85%)

LEGEND:

- IRON PIPE ~FND~
- REBAR ~FND~
- UTILITY POLE
- PHOTO POINT
- PROPERTY LINE
- BENCHMARK
- TREES
- 50' WATERFRONT BUFFER (NHDES)
- 150' WOODLAND BUFFER LINE (NHDES)
- OVERHEAD UTILITIES
- REFERENCE LINE
- BUILDING SETBACK LINE
- FND TYP
- B.C.R.D.
- PRO. SPOT GRADE



NOTES:

- OWNER: MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST
15 BACK ROAD
CHICHESTER, NH 03258
- LOT OF RECORD: TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7
- LOT AREA: 10,904 Sq. Ft., 0.25 Ac.±
- B.C.R.D.: BOOK 2818, PAGE 966
- ZONING: RS W/SORELAND PROTECTION OVERLAY
FRONT SETBACK: 25.0'
SIDE SETBACK: 10.0'
REAR SETBACK: 15.0'
LAKE SETBACK: 50.0'
MAX. STRUCTURE HEIGHT: 35.0'
MINIMUM GREEN AREA REQUIRED: 60% PER RS ZONE
MINIMUM GREEN AREA REQUIRED: 70% PER SORELAND OVERLAY
- I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE & BELIEF, A PORTION OF THIS PARCEL DOES FALL WITHIN THE FLOOD PLAIN FLOOD HAZARD REF: FEMA, COMMUNITY# -330005, MAP# - 33000500048, DATED: AUGUST 15, 1980. ZONE A1, ELEV. = 506
- VERTICAL DATUM BASED ON USGS NAVD88 ELEVATIONS. HORIZONTAL COORDINATES BASED ON NAD83. COORDINATES GATHERED USING CARLSON BRX7 SURVEY GRADE GPS RECEIVERS.
- THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO SHOW THE EXISTING CONDITIONS OF TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7 AS OF THE DATE OF THE FIELD SURVEY ON DECEMBER 18, 2024.
- THIS PLAN PROPOSES 4,742 Sq.Ft. OF DISTURBANCE WITHIN THE SORELAND ZONE. THE AREA OF THE EXISTING HOUSE WHICH WILL REMAIN UNCHANGED IS NOT CALCULATED IN THIS NUMBER.

LAKE WINNIPESAUKEE "PAUGUS BAY" "LAKE PAUGUS"


EXISTING EDGE OF WATER
12-2024
DRAWN DOWN CONDITION

GRAPHIC SCALE

(IN FEET)
1 inch = 10 ft.

REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION
PROPOSED CONDITIONS PLAN LAND OF MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST 122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD LACONIA, N.H. TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7		
BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING 335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD. BARRINGTON, N.H. (603)332-2863		
SCALE : 1 IN. EQUALS 10 FT.		
DATE : SEPTEMBER 11, 2025		
FILE NO. : DB 2024 - 143		

E1



SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. WOVEN WIRE FENCE TO BE FASTENED SECURELY TO FENCE POSTS WITH WIRE TIES OR STAPLES AND FILTER CLOTH SHALL BE FASTENED TO WOVEN WIRE EVERY 24" AT TOP MID AND BOTTOM SECTIONS AND BE EMBEDDED INTO GROUND A MINIMUM OF 8" THE FENCE POSTS SHALL BE A MINIMUM 48" LONG, SPACED A MAXIMUM 6' APART, AND DRIVEN A MINIMUM OF 16" INTO THE GROUND.
2. WHEN TWO SECTIONS OF FILTER CLOTH ADJOIN EACH OTHER, THE ENDS OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE OVERLAPPED BY SIX INCHES, FOLDED AND STAPLED TO PREVENT SEDIMENT FROM BY-PASSING.
3. MAINTENANCE SHALL BE PERFORMED AS NEEDED AND SEDIMENT REMOVED WHEN "BULGES" DEVELOP IN THE SILT FENCE AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF. SEE MAINTENANCE NOTE BELOW, REMOVAL OF SEDIMENT REQUIRED AT A DEPTH OF 6-INCHES.
4. PLACE THE ENDS OF THE SILT FENCE UP CONTOUR TO PROVIDE FOR SEDIMENT STORAGE.
5. SILT FENCES SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED AND THE SEDIMENT COLLECTED SHALL BE DISPOSED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
6. THE AREA DISTURBED BY THE REMOVAL SHALL BE SMOOTHED AND RE-VEGETATED.
7. TO BE CONSTRUCTED IAW NH SWM #3 4-2 SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES, SILT FENCE, PAGE 90.

SILT FENCE MAINTENANCE

1. SILT FENCES SHALL BE INSPECTED IMMEDIATELY AFTER EACH RAINFALL AND AT LEAST DAILY DURING PROLONGED RAINFALL. ANY REPAIRS THAT ARE REQUIRED SHALL BE MADE IMMEDIATELY.
2. IF THE FABRIC ON A SILT FENCE SHOULD DECOMPOSE OR BECOME INEFFECTIVE DURING THE EXPECTED LIFE OF THE FENCE, THE FABRIC SHALL BE REPLACED PROMPTLY.
3. SEDIMENT DEPOSITS SHOULD BE REMOVED WHEN THEY REACH SIX-INCHES IN DEPTH.
4. SEDIMENT DEPOSITS THAT ARE REMOVED OR LEFT IN PLACE AFTER THE FABRIC HAS BEEN REMOVED SHALL BE GRADED TO CONFORM WITH THE EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATED.

SILT FENCE DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE

E6

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE
NOT TO SCALE

1. STONE FOR A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE 3 INCH STONE, RECLAIMED STONE, OR RECYCLED CONCRETE EQUIVALENT.
2. THE LENGTH OF THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 75 FEET, EXCEPT THAT THE MINIMUM LENGTH MAY BE REDUCED TO 50 FEET IF A 3-INCH TO 6-INCH BERM IS INSTALLED AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE PROJECT SITE.
3. THE THICKNESS OF THE STONE FOR THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 6 INCHES.
4. THE WIDTH OF THE ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THE FULL WIDTH OF THE ENTRANCE WHERE INGRESS OR EGRESS OCCURS OR 10 FEET, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
5. GEOTEXTILE FILTER CLOTH SHALL BE PLACED OVER THE ENTIRE AREA PRIOR TO PLACING STONE.
6. ALL SURFACE WATER THAT IS FLOWING TO OR DIVERTED TOWARD THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE PIPED BENEATH THE ENTRANCE. IF PIPING IS IMPRACTICAL, A BERM WITH 5:1 SLOPES THAT CAN BE CROSSED BY VEHICLES MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR THE PIPE.
7. THE ENTRANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A CONDITION THAT WILL PREVENT TRACKING OR FLOWING OF SEDIMENT ONTO PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY. THIS MAY REQUIRE PERIODIC TOP DRESSING WITH ADDITIONAL STONE AS CONDITIONS DEMAND AND REPAIR AND/OR CLEAN OUT OF ANY MEASURES USED TO TRAP SEDIMENT. ALL SEDIMENT SPILLED, WASHED, OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY MUST BE REMOVED PROMPTLY.
8. TO BE CONSTRUCTED IAW NH SWM #3 4-2 SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES, TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EXIT, PAGE 124.

E8

TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL MEASURES

1. THE SMALLEST PRACTICAL AREA OF LAND SHALL BE EXPOSED AT ANY ONE TIME.
2. EROSION, SEDIMENT AND DETENTION MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND AT LOCATIONS AS REQUIRED, DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
3. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE RETURNED TO ORIGINAL GRADES AND ELEVATIONS. DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE LOAMED WITH A MINIMUM OF 4" OF LOAM AND SEEDED WITH NOT LESS THAN ONE POUND OF SEED PER 50 SQUARE YARDS OF AREA. (SEE SEED SPECIFICATIONS THIS SHEET)
4. ALL DISTURBED AREAS WILL BE RESTABILIZED WITHIN 45 DAYS. AT ANY ONE TIME, NO MORE THAN THE AREAS SHOWN WILL BE OPEN AT ANY ONE TIME.
5. SILT FENCES AND PERIMETER BARRIERS SHALL BE INSPECTED PERIODICALLY AND AFTER EVERY RAIN DURING THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT. ALL DAMAGED AREAS SHALL BE REPAIRED, SEDIMENT DEPOSITS SHALL PERIODICALLY BE REMOVED AND DISPOSED OF.
6. AFTER ALL DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN STABILIZED, THE TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE REMOVED AND THE AREA DISTURBED BY THE REMOVAL SMOOTHED AND RE-VEGETATED.
7. PER THE EPA COP REQUIREMENTS THERE WILL BE REPORTS OF THE EROSION CONTROL INSPECTIONS IAW SWPPP PREPARED BY BS&E. ALL EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE INSPECTED WEEKLY AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER 0.25" OR GREATER RAIN EVENT.
8. DITCHES, SWALES, AND BASINS SHALL BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM.
9. DO NOT TRAFFIC EXPOSED SOIL SURFACES WITH CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT. IF FEASIBLE, PERFORM EXCAVATIONS WITH EQUIPMENT POSITIONED OUTSIDE THE LIMITS OF THE INFILTRATION SYSTEM.
10. ROADWAYS, DRIVEWAYS AND CUT AND FILL SLOPES MUST BE STABILIZED WITHIN 72 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINAL GRADE.
11. STABILIZATION MEANS:
 - 11.1. A MINIMUM OF 85% OF VEGETATIVE COVER HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.
 - 11.2. A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF NON-EROSIVE MATERIAL SUCH AS STONE OR RIP RAP HAS BEEN INSTALLED, OR
 - 11.3. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
12. THIS PROJECT IS TO BE MANAGED IN A MANNER THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS AND INTENT OF RSA 430:53 AND CHAPTER AGR 3800 RELATIVE TO INVASIVE SPECIES.
13. THE NHDES STORMWATER MANUAL, IN THREE VOLUMES, DATED DECEMBER 2008, IS A PART OF THIS PLAN SET AND THE MORE RESTRICTIVE WILL GOVERN. (NH SWM)

E2

WINTER STABILIZATION NOTES

- 1.) ALL DISTURBED AREAS THAT DO NOT HAVE AT LEAST 85% VEGETATIVE COVERAGE PRIOR TO OCTOBER 15TH SHALL BE STABILIZED BY APPLYING MULCH AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. ALL SIDE SLOPES, STEEPER THAN 4:1, THAT ARE NOT DIRECTED TO SWALES OR DETENTION BASINS, SHALL BE LINED WITH BIODEGRADABLE/PHOTODEGRADABLE "JUTE MATTING" (EXCELSIOR'S CURLEX II OR EQUAL). ALL OTHER SLOPES SHALL BE MULCHED AND TACKED AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. THE APPLICATION OF MULCH AND/OR JUTE MATTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER EXISTING SNOW COVER. IF THE SITE IS ACTIVE AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, ANY SNOW THAT ACCUMULATES ON DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE REMOVED. PRIOR TO SPRING THAW ALL AREAS WILL BE STABILIZED, AS DIRECTED ABOVE.
- 2.) ALL SWALES THAT DO NOT HAVE FULLY ESTABLISHED VEGETATION SHALL BE EITHER LINED WITH TEMPORARY JUTE MATTING OR TEMPORARY STONE CHECK DAMS (APPROPRIATELY SPACED). STONE CHECK DAMS WILL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE WINTER MONTHS. IF THE SWALES ARE TO BE MATTED WITH PERMANENT LINERS OR RIPRAP WITH ENGINEERING FABRIC, THIS SHALL BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO WINTER SHUTDOWN OR AS SOON AS THEY ARE PROPERLY GRADED AND SHAPED.
- 3.) PRIOR TO OCT. 15TH ALL ROADWAY AND PARKING AREAS SHALL BE BROUGHT UP TO AND THROUGH THE BANK RUN GRAVEL APPLICATION. IF THESE AREAS' ELEVATIONS ARE PROPOSED TO REMAIN BELOW THE PROPOSED SUBGRADE ELEVATION, THE SUBGRADE MATERIAL SHALL BE ROUGHLY CROWNED AND A 3" LAYER OF CRUSHED GRAVEL SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED. THIS WILL ALLOW THE SUBGRADE TO SHED RUNOFF AND WILL REDUCE ROADWAY EROSION. THIS CRUSHED GRAVEL DOES NOT HAVE TO CONFORM TO NH DOT 304.3, BUT SHALL HAVE BETWEEN 15-25% PASSING THE #200 SIEVE AND THE LARGEST STONE SIZE SHALL BE 2". IF THE SITE IS ACTIVE AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, ANY ACCUMULATED SNOW SHALL BE REMOVED FROM ALL ROADWAY AND PARKING AREAS.
- 4.) AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, THE END OF NEW HAMPSHIRE'S AVERAGE GROWING SEASON, NO ADDITIONAL LOAM SHALL BE SPREAD ON SIDE SLOPES AND SWALES. THE STOCKPILES THAT WILL BE LEFT UNDISTURBED UNTIL SPRING SHALL BE SEED BY THIS DATE. AFTER OCTOBER 15TH, ANY NEW OR DISTURBED PILES SHALL BE MULCHED AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. ALL STOCKPILES THAT WILL REMAIN THROUGHOUT THE WINTER SHALL BE SURROUNDED WITH SILT FENCING.

DEFINITION OF STABLE

PER ENV-WQ 1500 ALTERATION OF TERRAIN


- 1.) BASE COURSE GRAVELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN AREAS TO BE PAVED.
- 2.) A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATED GROWTH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.
- 3.) A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF NON-EROSIVE MATERIAL SUCH AS STONE OR RIP-RAP HAS BEEN INSTALLED.
- 4.) OR, EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS HAVE BEEN PROPERLY INSTALLED.

ADDITION STABILIZATION NOTES:

- 5.) HAY MULCH OR OTHER APPROVED METHODS SHALL BE USED TO CONTROL EROSION OF NEWLY GRADED AREAS. ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES SHALL BE SEED AND MULCHED WITHIN 72 HOURS AFTER THEIR CONSTRUCTION.
- 6.) DISTURBED SOIL AREAS SHALL BE EITHER TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY STABILIZED. IN AREAS WHERE FINAL GRADING HAS NOT OCCURRED, TEMPORARY STABILIZATION MEASURES SHOULD BE IN PLACE WITHIN SEVEN (5) CALENDAR DAYS FOR EXPOSED SOIL AREAS THAT ARE WITHIN ONE HUNDRED (100) FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY OR A WETLAND AND NO MORE THAN 14 CALENDAR DAYS FOR ALL OTHER AREAS. PERMANENT STABILIZATION SHOULD BE IN PLACE WITHIN THREE (3) CALENDAR DAYS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF FINAL GRADING OF EXPOSED SOIL AREAS.

E3

PERVIOUS WALKWAY DETAIL




E4

E7

EROSION CONTROL MIX BERM

NOT TO SCALE




EROSION CONTROL MIX BERMS SHALL BE USED ONLY AS FOLLOWS:

1. BERMS SHALL BE USED IN AREAS WHERE EROSION WILL OCCUR ONLY IN THE FORM OF SHEET EROSION AND THERE IS NO CONCENTRATION OF WATER IN A CHANNEL OR DRAINAGE WAY ABOVE THE BERM.
2. THE BERMS SHALL BE INSTALLED FOLLOWING THE CONTOUR OF THE LAND AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE.
3. THE BERMS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON SLOPES LESS THAN 5%.
4. SUBJECT TO (E), BELOW, THE MIX SHALL HAVE AN ORGANIC PORTION BETWEEN 80 AND 100% DRY WEIGHT BASIS, AND BE FIBROUS AND ELONGATED SUCH AS FROM SHREDDED BARK, STUMP GRINDINGS, COMPOSTED BARK, OR EQUIVALENT MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS.
5. WOOD AND BARK CHIPS, GROUND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, OR REPROCESSED WOOD PRODUCTS SHALL NOT BE USED AS ORGANIC MATERIAL.
6. THE MIX SHALL NOT CONTAIN SILTS, CLAY, OR FINE SANDS.
7. THE MIX SHALL HAVE A PARTICLE SIZE BY WEIGHT OF 70 TO 85% PASSING A 6-INCH SCREEN AND A MAXIMUM OF 85% PASSING THE 0.75-INCH SCREEN.
8. THE MIX PH SHALL BE BETWEEN 5.0 AND 8.0.
9. THE BERM SHALL BE AT LEAST 12 INCHES HIGH AND AT LEAST 2 FEET WIDE.
10. TO BE CONSTRUCTED IAW NH SWM #3 4-2 SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES, EROSION CONTROL MIX BERMS, PAGE 106.

E9

FILTREXX SEDIMENT CONTROL

NOT TO SCALE



NOTES

1. ALL MATERIAL TO MEET FILTREXX SPECIFICATIONS.
2. FILTER MEDIA FILL TO MEET APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS.
3. COMPOST MATERIAL TO BE DISPERSED ON SITE, AS DETERMINED BY ENGINEER.
4. SILT/STORM MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF SILT FENCE OR OTHER SEDIMENT BARRIERS.
5. SILT/STORM COMPOST/SOIL/ROCK/SEED FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIFIC APPLICATION.
6. FILTREXX SOXX IS A REGISTERED TRADEMARK OF FILTREXX INTERNATIONAL, LLC.
7. SILT FENCE IS NOT A SUBSTITUTION FOR SILT SOXX AND ANY EQUAL SUBSTITUTION TO BE APPROVED.
8. TO BE CONSTRUCTED IAW FILTREXX, SECTION 1: EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL (PAGE 323) - CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, SWPPP CUT SHEET: FILTREXX SEDIMENT CONTROL

Filtrex International, LLC
SHE 345 0608 Eastern Rd | Grafton, Oh 44044
440-926-2607 | fax: 440-926-4021
WWW.FILTREXX.COM
OR APPROVED EQUAL

NOTE: FOR AREAS REQUIRING DOUBLE PERIMETER CONTROL WITHIN 50' OF JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS AND NOT FOR ALL SILT SOXX APPLICATIONS. THIS DUPLICATION MAY BE SPECIFIED AS 12" SILT SOXX OR ORANGE CONSTRUCTION FENCE AS NOTED.


SECTION NOT TO SCALE

E5

CONSTRUCTION SAFETY FENCE

NOT TO SCALE

LEGEND	
SAF12	48" ORANGE FENCE, 12 FEET O.C.
SAF11	48" ORANGE FENCE, 11 FEET O.C.
SAF10	48" ORANGE FENCE, 10 FEET O.C.
SAF9	48" ORANGE FENCE, 9 FEET O.C.
SAF8	48" ORANGE FENCE, 8 FEET O.C.
SAF7	48" ORANGE FENCE, 7 FEET O.C.
SAF6	48" ORANGE FENCE, 6 FEET O.C.




1. ALL SENSITIVE AREAS SHALL BE PROTECTED AS PER PLAN.
2. ALL TREES IN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA NOT SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED FOR REMOVAL SHALL BE PRESERVED AND PROTECTED WITH HIGH VISIBILITY FENCE AS PER PLAN.
3. WHEN PRACTICABLE, INSTALL HIGH VISIBILITY 3 FEET OUTSIDE OF THE DRIP LINE OF THE TREE.
4. SAFETY FENCE SHOULD BE FASTENED SECURELY TO THE T-POSTS.
5. THE FENCING MUST REMAIN IN PLACE DURING ALL PHASES OF CONSTRUCTION; ANY CHANGE OF THE PROTECTIVE FENCING MUST BE APPROVED.

E10

DRYWELL DETAIL

NOT TO SCALE



NOTES:

1. CONCRETE: 4,000 PSI MINIMUM AFTER 28 DAYS.
2. ALSO AVAILABLE IN AASHTO HS-20 LOADING.
3. CAPACITY INCREASES IN INCREMENTS OF 500 GALLONS FOR EACH 3' SECTION ADDED.
4. MUST BE SHEA CONCRETE PRODUCT OR EQUAL.

E-101


CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
LAND OF
MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7

BERRY
SURVEYING
& ENGINEERING
335 SECOND CROWN POINT ROAD
BARRINGTON, NH 03825 (603)332-2863

SCALE : 1 IN. EQUALS 10 FT.


DATE : SEPTEMBER 11, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024 - 143



SHEET 3 OF 5

C1



POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT SECTION
NOT TO SCALE

DESIGN NOTES:

- 1.) POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT WILL CONFORM TO UNHSC (2007b) SPECIFICATIONS AS UPDATED BY UNHSC DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT AND INFILTRATION BEDS (DATED: SEPTEMBER 2016).
- 2.) THE FILTER COURSE WILL BE INSTALLED AT A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 18 INCHES AND CONSIST OF NHDOT (2016) SAND, ITEM 304.1 PER THE NH STORMWATER MANUAL.
- 3.) SEE CROSS SECTIONS FOR DETAILS.
- 4.) SEE STORMWATER SYSTEM MANAGEMENT: INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AND PLAN, DATED JULY 1, 2024 FOR MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS.

REFERENCE:

- 1.) NH STORMWATER MANUAL: VOLUME 2, SECTION 4E PERMEABLE PAVEMENT.
- 2.) UNHSC DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT AND INFILTRATION BEDS, FEBRUARY 2014, REVISED SEPTEMBER 2016, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE STORMWATER CENTER, DURHAM, NH 03824-3534.
- 3.) POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT, THE UNH STORMWATER CENTER.

POROUS PAVEMENT
TOTAL SECTION DEPTH = 30.5"
FROST DEPTH = 48" (UNHSC)
12" FILTER MEDIA PROVIDED

SEE GRADING PLANS &
PROFILES FOR SPOT ELEVATIONS

CHOKER COURSE *	
SIEVE SIZE	% PASSING BY WEIGHT
1 1/2"	100
1"	95 - 100
1/2"	25 - 60
# 4	0 - 10
# 8	0 - 5

* EQUIVALENT TO STANDARD STONE SIZE #57 - AASHTO

FILTER BLANKET COURSE *	
SIEVE SIZE	% PASSING BY WEIGHT
1 1/2"	100
3/8"	95 - 100
# 4	22 - 55
# 8	0 - 10

* EQUIVALENT TO STANDARD WASHED STONE - SECTION 702 OF NHDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

FILTER COURSE *	
SIEVE SIZE	% PASSING BY WEIGHT
6"	100
# 4	70 - 100
# 200	0 - 6**

* EQUIVALENT TO MODIFIED NHDOT 304.1 - SECTION 304 OF NHDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

** LESS THAN 4% FINES

RESERVOIR COURSE *	
SIEVE SIZE	% PASSING BY WEIGHT
2 1/2"	100
2"	90 - 100
1 1/2"	35 - 70
1"	0 - 15
1/2"	0 - 5


* EQUIVALENT TO STANDARD STONE SIZE #3 - AASHTO

POROUS ASPHALT MIX DESIGN CRITERIA	
SIEVE SIZE	% PASSING BY WEIGHT
3/4"	100
1/2"	85 - 100
3/8"	55 - 75
# 4	10 - 25
# 8	5 - 12
# 200	2 - 4

BINDER CONTENT (AASHTO T164) 5.8 - 6.5%
AIR VOID CONTENT (AASHTO D6752) 16 - 22%
DRAINDOWN (AASHTO D6390) ≤ 0.3%
RETAINED TENSILE STRENGTH (AASHTO D6390) ≥ 80%
CANTABRO ABRASION ≤ 20% TEST (UNAGED)
CANTABRO ABRASION ≤ 30% TEST (7 DAYS)


POROUS ASPHALT PAVEMENT
NOT TO SCALE

C2



ADS N-12, INLINE DRAIN/CLEANOUT
NOT TO SCALE USED FOR DOWNSPOUT CLEANOUTS

C3




UNDERDRAIN TRENCH DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE


C4

NOTES:


1. SCARIFY ROOT MASS OF CONTAINERIZED PLANT MATERIAL.
2. INSTALL CONTAINERIZED PLANTS AT FINISHED GRADE.
3. TAMP PLANTING MIX FIRMLY AS PIT IS FILLED AROUND EACH PLANT BALL.
4. OMIT COLLAR AROUND EACH SHRUB WHEN IRRIGATION SYSTEM IS PRESENT.
5. SOAK EACH PLANT BALL AND PIT IMMEDIATELY AFTER INSTALLATION.



TYPICAL BED CROWNING




TYPICAL PLANTING BED DETAIL



TYPICAL PLANTING BED PLAN

SHRUB & HEDGE PLANTING

C5




PERENNIAL PLANTING DETAIL

C-101

REVISION		DATE	DESCRIPTION


CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
LAND OF
MARIE C. MCKAY REVOCABLE TRUST
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, BLOCK 178, LOT 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING
335 SECOND CROWN POINT ROAD
BARRINGTON, NH 03825 (603)332-2863
SCALE : 1 IN. EQUALS 10 FT.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 11, 2025
FILE NO. : DB 2024 - 143



Marie McKay
122 Paugus Park Road
Laconia, N.H.


Marie McKay Addition & Remodel
 Permit 6-26-2025
 By: OLC, JRL
 Revised: 5/2/1/25



Ground Floor

Marie McKay
122 Paugus Park Road
Laconia, N.H.

Title: McKay Addition & Remodel
Revised: 6-26-2025
By: OLC, JRL
Revised: 5/21/25



1st Floor

Title: McKay Addition & Remodel
Revised: 6-26-2025
By: OIC, JRL
Revised: 5/21/25



#1



#3



#2



#4



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143

#5



#7



#6



#8



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143

#9



#11



#10



PROJECT PHOTOS
MARIE C. MCKAY
122 PAUGUS PARK ROAD
LACONIA, N.H.
TAX MAP 287, LOT 178, SUB 7

BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 SECOND CROWN POINT RD.
BARRINGTON, N.H. 332-2863

SCALE : NONE

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

FILE NO. : DB 2024-143



PAUGUS BAY

PAUGUS BAY

RS

PAUGUS BAY











Application #:
Fees Paid:
Check #:
Receipt #:

ZB2026-029
\$198.00
544

**ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
VARIANCE APPLICATION**

Name of Applicant: Brian & Debra Beaulieu
Mailing Address: 278 Dockham Shore Rd., Gilford, NH 03249
Owner (If same as applicant, write "same"): Same
Mailing Address: Same
Tax Map/ Lot # (s): Map 167, Lot 269-1 Zoning District (s): SFR & Shoreland Protection Overlay District
Street Address: 17 Wentworth Cove Road

OWNER AUTHORIZATIONS & STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE:

I hereby make application to the City of Laconia for the above-referenced property (ies) and the development as described. To the best of my knowledge the information provided herein is accurate and is in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance and land use regulations of the City, except where waivers are requested. The City of Laconia Zoning Board and/or city employees are authorized to enter the property (ies) for purposes of reviewing this proposal and for inspecting improvements as a result of an approval of this proposal. I understand that I am responsible for appearing, or having someone appear on my behalf, at any and all meetings before the Zoning Board.

Sign as appropriate (If agent or non-person please attach certification)

NOTE: Please attach an Applicant Contact Worksheet

PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Brian & Debra Beaulieu

Printed Name Here

Brian Beaulieu Debra Beaulieu

Signature of Property Owner(s)

12/16/25
Date

AGENT(S)

Justin Daigneault - Granite Engineering, LLC

Printed Name Here

Justin Daigneault

Signature of Agent(s)

12/16/2025
Date

NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if the space provided is inadequate.

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS:

- Abutters List (from <https://www.axisgis.com/LaconiaNH/>)
- Envelopes and Certified Mail Receipts (Filled out for all abutters)
- Plot Plan (Showing dimensions of proposed building, setback requirements, and encroachments into any setbacks.)

A variance is requested from article 235-19 section F(2)(b) of the Zoning Ordinance to permit _____
Encroachment of 81 square feet of a raised deck into the 50' setback from Lake Winnepesaukee

Facts in support of granting the Variance:

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:

See attached narrative.

2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because:

See attached narrative.

3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:

See attached narrative.

4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:

See attached narrative.

5. Unnecessary Hardship

a. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:

i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

See attached narrative.

ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:

See attached narrative.

-or-

b. If the criteria in subparagraph (a) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

Facts in support of granting the Variance:

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:

The proposal significantly reduces existing nonconformity within the 50-foot Lake Winnepesaukee waterfront setback. The existing development includes approximately 2,495 square feet of house and deck area within the setback. The proposed redevelopment relocates the residence entirely outside the setback, with only approximately 81 square feet of deck encroaching into the setback that was not previously encroached. This results in a substantial reduction of structural encroachment, improved shoreline conditions, and increased consistency with shoreland protection goals, thereby serving the public interest.

2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because:

The intent of Article 235-19, Section F(2)b is to protect Lake Winnepesaukee by limiting structural development close to the shoreline. The proposed project advances this intent by eliminating existing structures within the setback and replacing them with a conforming residence that substantially increases the setback distance of the primary structure from the lake. The limited deck encroachment represents a minimal and reduced impact compared to existing conditions and maintains the ordinance's protective purpose.

3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:

Granting the variance allows the applicant to modernize and improve the property while significantly reducing existing encroachments into the shoreline setback. Denial would perpetuate or incentivize continued use of outdated, nonconforming structures rather than encouraging redevelopment that improves compliance. The benefit to the applicant is proportionate and reasonable, while the public benefits from improved setback compliance and shoreline protection.

4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:

The proposed redevelopment replaces multiple older structures with a single, code-compliant residence that is set farther back from the shoreline than existing conditions. This reduction in visual clutter and improvement in site layout is consistent with surrounding residential development patterns and is expected to enhance, rather than diminish, neighborhood character and property values.

5. Unnecessary Hardship:

- a. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:

The lot is constrained by its shoreline location, existing nonconforming development, and established building footprint patterns predating current zoning regulations. These conditions limit the ability to redevelop the property in full conformity without some encroachment. Strict application of the setback requirement would prevent reasonable redevelopment that substantially reduces nonconformity and improves compliance with current standards.

- i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

Applying the 50-foot setback strictly to prohibit a minor deck encroachment, while allowing significantly larger existing encroachments to remain, does not further the ordinance's underlying public purpose. The proposed redevelopment materially advances shoreline protection goals by reducing encroachment area by over 90 percent, and denying the variance would not result in additional meaningful public benefit.



ZONING & PLANNING BOARD APPLICATION FEES

Adopted by City Council 2/10/2020

www.cityoflaconianh.org

www.cityofnacomah.org

Application Type †	Basic Fee*	Additional Fees*	
Administrative Review	\$125.00	None apply	
Administrative Review Short Term Lodging Permit	\$250	Permit must be renewed every 2 years, fee authorized at that time.	
Minor Site Plan Committee (MSPC), Minor Site Plan or Change of Use between 5,000 and 10,000 SF#	\$100.00	None apply	
Planning Board Basic Site Plan, Site Plan Amendment or Change of Use over 10,000 SF#	\$200.00	Construction of new commercial structures, and additions	+ \$50 per each 1,000 SF# of new structure footprint
		Construction of new industrial structures and additions	+ \$30 per each 1,000 SF# of new structure footprint
		Construction of new residential units	+ \$50 per unit
		Principal Outdoor Uses	+ \$100 per acre (or portion over .5 acre) of developed area
		Parking or paving	+ \$20 per 1,000 SF#
Basic Subdivision (of Lots or Sites)	\$200.00	For 1-3 lots/sites (includes the original lot)	\$50 per lot/site
		For over 3 lots/sites (including original lot)	\$75 per lot/site
		Lot Line Adjustments	\$50 for each adjustment between every two lots
		Boundary Line Agreements	\$50 for each adjustment between every two lots
Basic Site Plan for establishment or expansion of parking lots over 25 spaces	\$500.00	None apply	
Design Review	\$100.00	None apply	
Conceptual Review	No Fee	None apply	
As-built Site Plan	\$100.00	None apply	
Miscellaneous Items/Fees			
Request for a continued hearing	\$25.00 for the first request, \$50. for each request after		
Request for extension of approval	\$25.00 for the first request, \$50. for each request after		
Request for Street Acceptance	\$100.00 Flat fee		
Conditional Use Permits	\$100.00 Per requirement		
Zoning Petitions	\$100.00		
Zoning Applications, Zoning Re-Hearings	\$125.00 ✓		
Lot Merger Applications	\$25.00		
Sign Permits	\$30.00 per sign		
Banner Sign Permits	\$50.00 per banner per week		
After-the-fact Applications	\$500 or double the regular application fee, whichever is greater, plus the normal application fee		
Abutter Notification	7x10 = \$70	\$10 per abutter, includes postal fees. Applicants wishing to pay the postal fees will be credited that amount. Applicant must prepare the mailing envelopes including affixing certified slip (return receipt not required).	
Recording Fees	Make payable to Belknap Country Registry of Deeds – amounts will vary depending on what is being recorded		

*Application fees do not include recording fees.

†Submission of an application fee must accompany a complete application in order for that application to be placed on an agenda for review and/or public hearing.

p:\old\templates\planning board templates\planning board fees\fees.doc

\$70 + \$125 = \$195.00

Brian & Debra Beaulieu
278 Dockham Shore Rd.
Gilford, NH 03249

166-269-44

DUDLEY BETTE A TRUST/TRUS
32 WENTWORTH COVE RD
LACONIA, NH 03246

166-269-28

HOMSI RICHARD
84 SUMMIT AVE
LACONIA, NH 03246

167-270-2

LEPINE PHILIP J TRUST/TRU
60 SUMMIT AV
LACONIA, NH 03246

166-270-27.2

RAHILLY DEBRA A
18 VERA RD
MIDDLETON, MA 01949

166-269-43

Consultants

Granite Engineering, LLC
150 Dow Street, Tower 2, Suite 421
Manchester, New Hampshire 03101

Marquis Contracting Group
717 Daniel Webster Highway
Merrimack, NH 03054

OWNER AFFIDAVIT

I, Debra Beaulieu, hereby authorize Granite Engineering, LLC to submit local, state, and federal land use applications on my behalf, as they relate to the redevelopment of the property situated at 17 Wentworth Cove Road, Laconia NH and referenced on Tax Assessor's Map 167 Lot 269-1. Further, I authorize Granite Engineering, LLC to aid in the representation of said permits, as required, before the City of Laconia and the State of New Hampshire.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Debra Beaulieu", written in black ink. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

Debra Beaulieu
17 Wentworth Cove Road
Laconia, NH 03246



GRANITE ENGINEERING, LLC

civil engineering • land planning • municipal services

December 19, 2025

City of Laconia Zoning Department
Scott Pelchat
Zoning Tech
45 Beacon Street E
Laconia, New Hampshire 03246

RE: 17 Wentworth Cove – ZBA Variance Application
Tax Map 269 Lot 1
17 Wentworth Cove Road
Laconia, NH
GE#2410221

Dear Mr. Pelchat,

Enclosed, please find an executed application and associated supporting material for the above referenced project, for an anticipated Zoning Board hearing on January 20, 2026.

Brian & Debra Beaulieu (Applicant) proposes to raze an existing single-family residence and construct a new single-family residence located at 17 Wentworth Cove Road in Laconia, NH (Tax Map 269 Lot 1). The property is located within the SFR & Shoreland Protection Overlay District.

The property includes an Application to the ZBA for a variance request for encroachment into the 50' waterfront setback. The existing development includes approximately 2,495 square feet of house and deck area within the setback. The proposed redevelopment relocates the residence entirely outside the setback, with only approximately 81 square feet of deck encroaching into the setback that was not previously encroached.

We are pleased to submit the following items for consideration in relation to this project:

1. One (1) copy of an Executed Zoning Board Variance Application with supporting narrative;
2. One (1) copy of the Zoning Application Fees
3. One (1) copy of certified list of abutters
4. One (1) copy of a Signed Owners and Applicant Affidavit;
5. One (1) set of envelopes with postage for use in abutter notification;
6. One (1) full size copies of the plans;
7. Checks to cover the various application fees calculated as follows:

Variance Request	= \$125.00
Abutter Notification	
5 Abutter x \$10	= \$50
2 Owner/Applicant/Consultants x \$10	= \$20
<u>Check # 544</u>	<u>\$195.00</u>

If you need any further information or if you have any questions, don't hesitate to contact this office.

Best Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Justin Daigneault", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Justin Daigneault
Project Manager

GRANITE
ENGINEERING

civil engineering • land planning •
municipal services

BY: JCD

DATE: 8/29/2025

PROJECT: 17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD

COMMENTS: NILES SHORELAND COMMENTS

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	COMMENTS
0	8/29/2025	1

OWNER: BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

APPLICANT: BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

GRANITE
ENGINEERING

civil engineering • land planning •
municipal services

150 Dow Street, Tower 2, Suite 421
Manchester,
New Hampshire 03101
603.518.8030
www.GraniteEng.com

STAMP:

LOCATION:

TAX MAP 167 LOT 269-1
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NEW HAMPSHIRE
BELKNAP COUNTY

PROJECT:

17 WENTWORTH COVE

TITLE:

EXISTING
CONDITIONS PLAN

PROJECT No. DATE: 24-1022-1 AUGUST 15, 2025

SHEET: 1 OF 1

SCALE: HORIZ: 1"=10'

GRANITE
ENGINEERING

civil engineering • land planning •
municipal services

BY:

DATE:

PROJECT: 17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD

COMMENTS:

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	COMMENTS
-----	------	----------

OWNER: BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

APPLICANT: BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

GRANITE
ENGINEERING

civil engineering • land planning •
municipal services

150 Dow Street, Tower 2, Suite 421
Manchester,
New Hampshire 03101
603.518.8030
www.GraniteEng.com

STAMP:

LOCATION:

TAX MAP 167 LOT 269-1
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NEW HAMPSHIRE
BELKNAP COUNTY

PROJECT:

17 WENTWORTH COVE

TITLE:

REMOVAL
PLAN

PROJECT No. DATE: 24-1022-1 AUGUST 15, 2025

SHEET: 2 OF 5

SCALE: HORIZ: 1"=30'

MAP 166 LOT 269-43
DEBRA A. RAHILLY
18 VERA ROAD
MIDDLETON, MA 01949

MAP 166 LOT 269-44
BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

MAP 166 LOT 269-1
BRIAN & DEBRA BEAULIEU
17 WENTWORTH COVE ROAD
LACONIA, NH 03246

MAP 167 LOT 270-2
RICHARD HOWE
84 SUMMIT AVENUE
LACONIA, NH 03246

NOTES:
1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO SHOW EXISTING FEATURES ON SITE.
2. THE LOCATION OF ANY UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS APPROXIMATE. GRANITE ENGINEERING, LLC MAKES NO CLAIM TO THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF UTILITIES SHOWN. PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION ON SITE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIGSAFE AT 811.

GRAPHIC SCALE
(IN FEET)
1 inch = 10 ft

LAKE
WINNIPESAUKEE

REFERENCE LINE ELEVATION = 504.32'
120'± ALONG REFERENCE LINE
STRAIGHT LINE SHORE DISTANCE 116'±

LEGEND

- EX./PROP. IRON PIN
- EX./PROP. UTILITY POLE
- EX./PROP. WATER VALVE
- EX./PROP. WATER SHUTOFF
- EX./PROP. CATCH BASIN
- ABUTTER LINE
- PROPERTY LINE
- FEMA FLOOD LINE
- 50' WATERFRONT BUFFER
- 150' NATURAL WOODLAND BUFFER
- EX./PROP. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. SEWER LINE
- EX. WATERLINE
- OVERHEAD UTILITIES
- EX./PROP. STONE RETAINING WALL
- TREE
- SHRUB

NOTES:
1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO SHOW EXISTING FEATURES ON SITE TO BE REMOVED, SALVAGED, OR REPLACED.
2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTROL ALL DUST GENERATED DURING THE REMOVAL PHASE AND CONSTRUCTION PHASE SO THAT NO DUST LEAVES THE SITE.
3. ANY MONUMENTS DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION WHICH AREN'T CALLED OUT FOR REMOVAL SHALL BE RESET BY A NEW HAMPSHIRE LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR AT THE SITE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
4. THE LOCATION OF ANY UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN IS APPROXIMATE. GRANITE ENGINEERING, LLC MAKES NO CLAIM TO THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF UTILITIES SHOWN. PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION ON SITE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIGSAFE AT 811.
5. ALL STUMPS, ROOTS, BRANCHES, BRUSH, WOODS, AND OTHER PERISHABLE MATERIAL RESULTING FROM THE CLEARING AND GRUBBING OPERATIONS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF BY AN APPROVED METHOD.
6. STRIP, STOCKPILE, AND REUSE ON SITE GRAVEL AND FILL AREAS WHERE APPROPRIATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DESIGN ENGINEER.
7. DEBRIS REMOVED FROM THE SITE SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS.
8. SITE CONTRACTOR SHALL ESTABLISH TEMPORARY PERIMETER CONTROLS PRIOR TO THE START OF SITE EXCAVATION.


GRAPHIC SCALE
(IN FEET)
1 inch = 10 ft

LAKE
WINNIPESAUKEE


REFERENCE LINE ELEVATION = 504.32'

LEGEND

- EX./PROP. IRON PIN
- EX./PROP. UTILITY POLE
- EX./PROP. WATER VALVE
- EX./PROP. WATER SHUTOFF
- EX./PROP. CATCH BASIN
- ABUTTER LINE
- PROPERTY LINE
- FEMA FLOOD LINE
- 50' WATERFRONT BUFFER
- 150' NATURAL WOODLAND BUFFER
- EX./PROP. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. SEWER LINE
- EX. WATERLINE
- OVERHEAD UTILITIES
- EX./PROP. STONE RETAINING WALL
- PROP. SAWCUT
- ITEMS TO BE REMOVED
- PAVEMENT/GRAVEL TO BE REMOVED
- TREE
- SHRUB



1 SITE PLAN
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



PRELIMINARY SET - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

LEGEND	
	PROPERTY LINE
	SETBACK LINE
	GREEN AREA
	ASPHALT PATHWAY
	MAIN ROAD
	SUB ROAD
	HARDCOVER FINAL SELECTION T.B.D.

BEAULIEU RESIDENCE
17 WENTWORTH COVE RD.
LACONIA, NH 03246

© James McNeel Architecture & Design, LLC
THESE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS AND THE HOME
CONSTRUCTED PURSUANT TO THE PLANS ARE
THE EXCLUSIVE COPYRIGHTED PROPERTY OF
JAMES McNEEL ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN,
LLC AND ARE PROTECTED BY UNITED STATES
COPYRIGHT LAW. ANY UNAUTHORIZED USE
OF THESE PLANS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.
Copyright © 2023

JMAD ARCHITECTURE
275 Market Street Suite 135
Minneapolis, MN 55405
877-796-JMAD
www.JamesMcNeelArchitectureAndDesign.com

ISSUE:
2025.12.12



Application #:
Fees Paid:
Check #:
Receipt #:

282026-018
\$ 275.00
3550

**ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
VARIANCE APPLICATION**

Name of Applicant: Skyview Acres LLC
Mailing Address: PO Box 1926, Concord, NH 03302
Owner (If same as applicant, write "same"): Same
Mailing Address: Same
Tax Map/ Lot # (s): 255/241/2 Zoning District (s): RR2
Street Address: 238 White Oaks Road

OWNER AUTHORIZATIONS & STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE:

I hereby make application to the City of Laconia for the above-referenced property (ies) and the development as described. To the best of my knowledge the information provided herein is accurate and is in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance and land use regulations of the City, except where waivers are requested. The City of Laconia Zoning Board and/or city employees are authorized to enter the property (ies) for purposes of reviewing this proposal and for inspecting improvements as a result of an approval of this proposal. I understand that I am responsible for appearing, or having someone appear on my behalf, at any and all meetings before the Zoning Board.

Sign as appropriate (If agent or non-person please attach certification)
NOTE: Please attach an Applicant Contact Worksheet

PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Peter Grenier

Printed Name Here Duly authorized for Skyview Acres LLC

[Signature]
Signature of Property Owner(s)

9-22-25
Date

AGENT(S)

John G. Cronin, Esq.

Printed Name Here

[Signature]
Signature of Agent(s)

9.24.25
Date

NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if the space provided is inadequate.

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS:

- Abutters List (from <https://www.axisgis.com/LaconiaNH/>)
- Envelopes and Certified Mail Receipts (Filled out for all abutters)
- Plot Plan (Showing dimensions of proposed building, setback requirements, and encroachments into any setbacks.)

DUE AT SUBMISSION:

10 COPIES OF ENTIRE ZONING BOARD APPLICATION WITH REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

235-25 Uses Permitted by Right
235 -Attachment 2 Table of Dimensional Regulations
237-17 Wetlands Conservation and Water Quality Overlay

A variance is requested from article ____ section ____ of the Zoning Ordinance to permit _____
Twelve single-family residences - Please see attached

Facts in support of granting the Variance:

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:
Please see attached

2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because:
Please see attached

3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:
Please see attached

4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:
Please see attached

5. Unnecessary Hardship

a. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:

i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

Please see attached

ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:

Please see attached

-or-

b. If the criteria in subparagraph (a) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

Please see attached

VARIANCE ATTACHMENT

Sky View Acres, LLC (the "Applicant") seeks variances to allow the development of a certain property known as 238 White Oaks Road, Laconia, New Hampshire ("Property"). The Property is 10.63 acres and is burdened by split zoning classifications. The majority of the Property, fronting on White Oaks Road, is located in the R2 Zoning District. The rear section of the Property is located in the R1 Zoning District. The existing home is in disrepair and beyond restoration.

The Applicant initially proposed to develop the Property as a colonial style village with duplex living opportunities served by a circular driveway. The initial development plan included 12 structures with 22 duplex homes. The feedback from the public and the Honorable Board was the proposal was too dense and duplex style homes were not consistent with the area. As discussed at the hearing, the Applicant and its consulting engineer, returned to the drawing board to revise the project to address the concerns raised at the hearing.

The new proposal is considerably different. The density is substantially reduced and the homes are limited to single-family residences which are harmonious with the character of the neighborhood. The design remains influenced by colonial architecture in the village style consistent with early colonial development. The Applicant contends the existing zoning is dated and does not consider the recent sewer improvements that service the property. Historically, larger lots were required to meet septic requirements and well radius specifications. As sewer is available and intended to service this project, the usual density concerns are not applicable. It is anticipated that, as the City works through the new master plan and amends its zoning ordinance, the classification for this property and others serviced by public sewer will change.

The southwest corner of the Property has a man-made wetland that was created by the parking of farming equipment when the Property was operated as a working farm. The wetland is isolated and has nominal wetland function. The area is dry in all but the spring months. The plan, as discussed with officials at DES, is to fill the area and pay a mitigation fee to the State of New Hampshire.

The proposal is consistent with the cluster zoning permitted in other zones. A condominium is located on the west side of the Property.

RELIEF REQUESTED

The Applicant understands the following relief is required:

235-25 Uses Permitted by Right
235 -Attachment 2 Table of Dimensional Regulations
237-17 Wetlands Conservation and Water Quality Overlay

VARIANCE ELEMENTS

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:

Under the law as set forth in Harborside Associates, L.P. v. Parade Residence Hotel, I.I.C., 162 N.H. 508 (2011), “[t]he requirement that the variance not be contrary to the public interest is related to the requirement that [it] be consistent with the spirit of the ordinance. [...] As the provisions of the ordinance represent a declaration of public interest *any* variance would in some measure be contrary thereto. Accordingly, to adjudge whether granting a variance is not contrary to the public interest and is consistent with the spirit of an ordinance, we must determine whether the variance would “unduly, and in a marked degree conflict with the ordinance such that it violates the ordinance’s basic zoning objectives. Thus, for a variance to be contrary to the public interest and inconsistent with the spirit of the ordinance, its grant must violate the ordinance’s “basic zoning objectives” [and mere] conflict with the terms of the ordinance is insufficient.” *Id.* at 514. In order for a variance to unduly violate an ordinance’s “basic zoning objectives,” granting the variance must “alter the essential character of the neighborhood” or “threaten the public health, safety or welfare.” *Id.*

Here, the grant of the requested variance will not be contrary to the public interest. More specifically, the grant of the variance will not unduly conflict with the basic objectives of the relevant zoning ordinance as it will not substantially alter the essential character of the area. In fact, the development if approved, will advance the public interest. The density is reduced to twelve homes and is limited to single family residences. The character of the neighborhood is mixed ranging from farm land, large single-family homes, small single-family homes, condominiums and even a motorcycle club.

The structures will be of colonial design in a village composition and will adhere to all applicable building and life safety codes.

2. Granting the variance will not be contrary to the spirit of the ordinance because:

It is important to note that this test is in the negative. That is to say that it does not require the Applicant to prove that the proposed use is *in* the public interest, but only to prove that it is *not contrary to* the public interest.

In keeping with the law as outlined above in *Harborside*, here the spirit of the ordinance element is related to the public interest element and the factors are the same. As such, for the reasons set forth above, the grant of the variance will not be contrary to the spirit of the ordinance.

Moreover, the spirit of the ordinance is still observed as the proposed project will not alter the essential character of neighborhood as the proposed project maintains single family residences. Single family residential use is allowed in the zone.

3. The proposed use would not diminish surrounding property values because:

The value of the improvements and finishes will replace an older building in need of repair. The elevations included with the application speak for themselves. The development will add to the value of surrounding property values and result in a community benefit. The reduced density and limitation to single family residences will address concerns raised in the prior plan. This prong should be considered in the context of what other allowed uses could be developed on the property. During the trip back to the drawing board, the Applicant learned that a campground, subject to state density regulations, is permitted by Special Exception.

4. By granting the variance, substantial justice would be done because:

The loss to the applicant, in the event that a variance is denied, outweighs any gain to the general public. Here, it is not feasible to build an interior road within the property due to cost, land loss and setbacks. The site costs will exceed the value of any lots approved in a classic form of subdivision. The harm to the public is not different than other development. The land will be developed in a positive manner in terms of design and finishes. The project will provide needed new housing in the community of a new design. The tax base will be increased. The public will also benefit from this development as it will negate the ability to construct substantially more units if proposed legislation to allow half acre lots with sewer passes the legislature this session.

5. Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner owing to the special conditions of the land because:

(A) No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance and the specific application to the Property.

The zoning classifications established for this property pre-date the recent sewer improvements that service the property. The existing zoning, requiring larger lots and less density was due to the lack of sewer and the need to manage sewer discharge with septic systems with leach fields. The large lots were also required to maintain well radius distances from leach fields. With the arrival of public sewer service, there is no relationship between the purpose of the ordinance and the application to this property. It is believed that if zoned today, the parcel would not be in a R1 or R2 zone. There is no health, safety or welfare benefit to requiring lots with public sewer to be 2 acres in size.

(B) The proposed use is reasonable.

The proposed use is reasonable. The single-family homes proposed are consistent with the single-family requirements in the zone and offer a housing product that is not generally available in the City of Laconia.

LETTER OF AUTHORITY/PERMISSION

The undersigned, being the owner of the property known as 238 White Oaks Road, Map 255, Lot 241-2, hereby grants authority and consent to attorneys at Cronin, Bisson & Zalinsky, P.C. to sign and file ZBA and Planning Board applications and any related materials on my behalf and deliver the same to the Town of Laconia, represent me at any hearing(s) concerning these applications, and perform all other necessary actions in connection with such applications.




Signature

9-22-25
Date

Peter Grenier,
Duly Authorized for Skyview Acres, LLC


FRONT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



LEFT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



THIS DRAWING IS A PART OF THE SET OF ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT. IT IS TO BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OTHER DRAWINGS IN THE SET. IT IS NOT TO BE USED SEPARATELY. ANY CHANGES TO THIS DRAWING MUST BE MADE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OTHER DRAWINGS IN THE SET. ANY CHANGES TO THIS DRAWING MUST BE MADE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OTHER DRAWINGS IN THE SET.

AREA TABULATION	
Overall Area	2,247 sq ft
Living Room	2,247 sq ft
Bedroom	900 sq ft
Total Living Area	2,247 sq ft
Basement	900 sq ft
Total Area	3,147 sq ft

NOTE: AREA AND CALCULATION FOR THE ABOVE AREAS ARE BASED ON THE EXISTING SITE. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 4. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 5. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 6. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 7. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 8. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 9. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
- 10. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.

EXTERIOR FINISHES

- 1. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 2. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 3. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 4. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 5. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 6. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 7. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 8. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 9. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 10. EXTERIOR FINISHES ARE TO BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.

NOTE: AREA AND CALCULATION FOR THE ABOVE AREAS ARE BASED ON THE EXISTING SITE. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.

NOTE: AREA AND CALCULATION FOR THE ABOVE AREAS ARE BASED ON THE EXISTING SITE. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.



Project name and address information.

Original Draft Date: 08/18/2018

Document Date: 06/20/24

Document Phase:

Drawn by: SKD

Check Date: 06/20/24

ELEVATIONS

CL-18-012
THE ARIANA MARIE
BASEMENT - 10ft MAIN
2 CAR SIDE - STANDARD



www.royalokdesign.com
101-844-1776
101-844-1776
101-844-1776



144 444 255 EXT 241-71
ONTOARIO SAND MINING ASSOC
ONTOARIO AT AUGUST 14/97
C/O NORTHDALE AGENCY
71 ROUTE 104 UNIT 8
WATERVILLE ME 05725

PROPOSED PRIVACY FENCE AND EVERGREENS
ALONG PROPERTY LINE
- 8' HEIGHT MAXIMUM
MIXTURE OF GREEN PLANT ARBORVITAE, FRASER
FIR, COLORADO SPRUCE & CANADIAN HEMLOCK

For a more complete description of the project visit www.2000.org

Chlorine	0.0001
Available Chlorine	0.0001

See also: PLS (for 2015)
 and any other
 for more info on
 factors, see also

David L. Garfield, Editor
Journal of Counseling Psychology
1001 North Zeeb Road
Evanston, IL 60201-3093
Telephone: 708/492-6688
Fax: 708/492-6698
E-mail: dgarfield@northwestern.edu

EST. APR 233 LOT 241-
FRANCHISE IN NY
POS WHITE CHIPS RD
CROTON, NY 10524

DATE 04/09/2005 EOI 241-5
ALICE G & CARL P TRUST 2004 TRUST
CARL P TRUST TRUSTEE
210 WHITE OAKS RD
LITCHFIELD, NY 14646

FOR MRP 253 LOT 241-
AN IN BAND &
ABOUT A SUBCOT
253 WITH DOTS AND
LACONAL AND AL246

627 New York Ave., Suite 200
New York, NY 10013

WHITE OAKS

WHITE OAKS

NOTES

1. List of the plants to show detailed photographs of the **REPRODUCTIVE** parts of the plant. For the purpose of obtaining a minimum of 20% the distribution of 1000 plants of 1000 different species.

2. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

3. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

4. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

5. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

6. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

7. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

8. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

9. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

10. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

11. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

12. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

13. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

14. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

15. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

16. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

17. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

18. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

19. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

20. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

21. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

22. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

23. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

24. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

25. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

26. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

27. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

28. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

29. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

30. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

31. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

32. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

33. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

34. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

35. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

36. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

37. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

38. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

39. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

40. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

41. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

42. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

43. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

44. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

45. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

46. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

47. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

48. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

49. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

50. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

51. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

52. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

53. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

54. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

55. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

56. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

57. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

58. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

59. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

60. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

61. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

62. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

63. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

64. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

65. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

66. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

67. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

68. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

69. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

70. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

71. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

72. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

73. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

74. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

75. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

76. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

77. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

78. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

79. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

80. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

81. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

82. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

83. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

84. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

85. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

86. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

87. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

88. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

89. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

90. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

91. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

92. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

93. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

94. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

95. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

96. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

97. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**


98. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

99. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

100. **PLANT NAME** - **IN** & **OUT**

NOTES

1. PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO SHOW PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING A VARIANCE TO ALLOW THE DEVELOPMENT OF 19




**ZBA PLAN - SINGLE FAMILY
TAX MAP 255 LOT 241-002
288 WHITE OAKS ROAD
LACONIA, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03246**
OWNER OF RECORD/PREPARED FOR
SRVIEW ACRES, LLC
PO BOX 1926
CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03302
BORO BOOK 3517/PAGE 238
SHEET 1 OF 1
SEPTEMBER 18, 2022

[illegible]



ST ALB LBNHLS

A1.2



MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN

240-B HOPKINS AVE. W.

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

THE OUTGOING OF FOUNDATION BUILDERS
AND/OR OUTGOING PACE OF
RETRIBUTION AT PRESENTED MIDDLE
STAGES AFTER ACTION OF CALCULATIONS
AT ALL LEVELS

AREA TABULATION	
LONGER LEVEL	22.87 SF
WALL LEVEL	22.87 SF
DOCKS ROOM	77.00 SF
TOTAL ROOMED	22.87 SF
TOTAL UNROOMED	90.04 SF
CARROLL PORTON "A" PORTON "B"	44.44 SF
	44.80 SF
	44.80 SF

GENERAL NOTES - MAIN FLOOR

www.rjgbooksandesign.com
West Linn, OR 97146

ROYAL OAKS
DESIGN

1

1131

CL-18-012
THE ARIANA MARIE
CEMENT - 10ft MAIN
R SIDE - STANDARD



WOMEN WOULD MAKE SIGNATURE TO ADD MORE OF OUR PEOPLE TO REAGAN'S MODIFICATIONS.

Original Draft Date:

Document Date:

Document Phase:

Drawn by: SKD

[illegible]

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmb



3

INDEX

Journal of Management Inquiry

A2.2

1






SECOND FLOOR PLAN


to verify all plans, pictures, notes and other documents before their presentation

661.964.7278
www.crystallabdesign.com
West Linn, OR 97146


CL-18-012
THE ARIANA MARIE
BASEMENT - 10ft MAIN
2 CAR SIDE - STANDARD



① ELEVATION FRONT



② ELEVATION BACK



○ WALL, WINDOW FRAMING WITH SIDING

COPYRIGHT BY IMAGINATION DESIGNS, LLC 2023






IMAGINATION DESIGNS, LLC
WWW.IMAGINATIONDESIGNS.ORG

ANTHONY DIONNE
25 SANDBORN ROAD
GIRFORD, NH LOT 3

PAGE NO

A3



- 10/29/2024 4:01:66 PM






DOOR SCHEDULE	
NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
2	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
3	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
4	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
5	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
6	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
7	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
8	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
9	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
10	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
11	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
12	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
13	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
14	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
15	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
16	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
17	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
18	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
19	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
20	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
21	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
22	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
23	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
24	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
25	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
26	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
27	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
28	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
29	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
30	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
31	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
32	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
33	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
34	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
35	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
36	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
37	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
38	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
39	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
40	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
41	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
42	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
43	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
44	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
45	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
46	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
47	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
48	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
49	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
50	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
51	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
52	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
53	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
54	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
55	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
56	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
57	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
58	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
59	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
60	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
61	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
62	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
63	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
64	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
65	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
66	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
67	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
68	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
69	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
70	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
71	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
72	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
73	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
74	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
75	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
76	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
77	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
78	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
79	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
80	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
81	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
82	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
83	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
84	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
85	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
86	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
87	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
88	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
89	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
90	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
91	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
92	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
93	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
94	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
95	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
96	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
97	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
98	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
99	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR
100	1" x 6" x 6" DOOR


TIME	WOMEN	SEAS	SAIL	CREATION	UNIT	TYPE	QTY
01	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
02	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
03	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
04	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
05	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
06	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
07	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
08	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
09	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
10	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
11	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
12	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
13	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
14	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
15	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
16	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
17	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
18	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
19	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
20	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	
21	20	20	20	SHOUL HANG	SHOUL UNIT	1	

AREAS	
LOCATION	30 FT
SET FLOOR LIVING	1,540 SF
BATH PORCH	227 SF
HOTEL PORCH	420 SF
GAUGE	50 SF
TOTAL	2,287 SF


AREA LIVING	
LOCATION	30 FT
SET FLOOR LIVING	1,540 SF
2ND FLOOR LIVING	148 SF
TOTAL LIVING	2,027 SF

[illegible]





LEFT ELEVATION

$$SCALE = 1/4" = 1' 0$$


FRONT ELEVATION

1
A1.1

$$56 \Delta LB = 1/4" = 1' 0"$$

These reports to various all-India, national, regional and other committees critical to progress these publications.

Any agricultural components that are related on these publications are submitted for development purposes and it is recommended that all agricultural development elements be reviewed on a local level and professional agricultural standards.

These reports and other reports should be submitted to the Director of the National Agricultural Extension and Training

AREA TABULATION	
LONG LEVEL	2287 sq
MAIN LEVEL	2287 sq
POOLS ROOM	999 sq
TOTAL IMPROVED	2287 sq
TOTAL UNIMPROVED	9999 sq
44444444	
PERCENT "A"	4444 sq
PERCENT "B"	4444 sq
PERCENT "C"	4444 sq

- LOOK AFTER ALL CALCULATED PROPERTIES OUTSIDE OF PARENTAL CLASS
- ADD/GET METHODS PLACE ON BIRTH OBJECT & NOT AT PARENT LEVELS
- *NOTE: AFTER WE'LL GO TO CALCULATIONS AT ALL LEVELS

GENERAL NOTES

[illegible]

EXTREME POLISHES

- some (as noted)
- multiplex layer could
 - 5" standard
- duplex type
- multiplex boards
- the others all with as notes

+++ STEREOTYPICAL WORKER +++

All of the traditional, steady and regular
workers, permanent conditions and
allocations, people's rights must be
preserved by a stereotypical behavior
based on existing and conditions.
Overhead, but to achieve all
performance from better stereotypical.

PROBATIONAL MANAGEMENT
ALL PROBATIONAL MANAGEMENT
PROBATION OFFICERS AND
PROBATION SUPERVISORS
PROBATION OFFICERS TO BE DESIGNATED
BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH
OF PROBATION ADMINISTRATION
BASED ON MERIT AND
COMPETENCY

www.orgulloakaleign.com
to see more of our plans or
to request modifications.



Original Draft Date
08/18/2018
Document Date:
9/4/2024
Document Phase:
Drawn by: SKC

ELEVATIONS

A1.1

CL-18-012
THE ARIANA MARIE
BASEMENT - 10ft MAIN
2 CAR SIDE - STANDARD



031-999-4770
www.rhythmoflife.com
Wend Lakeland, MD 68602

238 WHITE OAKS RD

Location 238 WHITE OAKS RD

Mblu 255/ 241/ 2/ /

Acct# 5785

Owner SKYVIEW ACRES LLC

Assessment \$869,300

2845

Building Count 1

Current Value

Assessment			
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total
2024	\$625,300	\$244,000	\$869,300

Owner of Record

Owner SKYVIEW ACRES LLC
Co-Owner
Address PO BOX 1926
CONCORD, NH 03302

Sale Price \$1,225,000
Book & Page 3637/0238
Sale Date 08/19/2024
Instrument 00

Ownership History

Ownership History				
Owner	Sale Price	Book & Page	Instrument	Sale Date
SKYVIEW ACRES LLC	\$1,225,000	3637/0238	00	08/19/2024
TOUART DOROTHY IRWIN THE TRUST	\$4,000	1489/0845	1F	09/17/1998
TOUART DOROTHY I	\$0	/0		09/17/1998

Building Information

Building 1 : Section 1

Year Built: 1905
Living Area: 4,762

Building Attributes	
Field	Description
Style:	Colonial
Model	Residential
Grade:	Good

Stories:	2 Stories
Occupancy	1
Exterior Wall 1	Clapboard
Exterior Wall 2	
Roof Structure:	Gambrel
Roof Cover	Asph/F Gls/Cmp
Interior Wall 1	Plastered
Interior Wall 2	Drywall/Sheet
Interior Flr 1	Hardwood
Interior Flr 2	
Heat Fuel	Oil
Heat Type:	Hot Water
AC Type:	None
Total Bedrooms:	5 Bedrooms
Total Bthrms:	3
Total Half Baths:	1
Total Xtra Fixtrs:	
Total Rooms:	11 Rooms
Bath Style:	Average
Kitchen Style:	Average
Num Kitchens	01
Cndtn	
Num Park	
Fireplaces	
Fndtn Cndtn	
Basement	
Usrflid 706	


Building Photo



(https://images.vgsi.com/photos/LaconiaNHPhotos/\0020\5785_20625.jpg)

Building Layout

UAT
(444 sf)



(ParcelSketch.ashx?pid=2845&bid=3270)

Building Sub-Areas (sq ft)			Legend	
Code	Description	Gross Area	Living Area	
BAS	First Floor	2,864	2,864	
FUS	Upper Story, Finished	1,898	1,898	
CAN	Canopy	37	0	
FOP	Porch, Open, Finished	720	0	
UAT	Attic, Unfinished	444	0	
UBM	Basement, Unfinished	2,169	0	
UGR	Garage, Unfinished	740	0	
UST	Utility, Storage, Unfinished	35	0	
WDK	Deck, Wood	24	0	
		8,931	4,762	

Extra Features

Extra Features				Legend
Code	Description	Size	Bldg #	
FPL	FIREPLACE	3.00 UNITS		1

Land

Land Use

Use Code 1010
Description SINGLE FAM MDL-01
Zone RR2
Neighborhood AVERAGE
 No

Land Line Valuation

Size (Acres) 10.63
Frontage 0
Depth 0
Assessed Value \$244,000

Category

Outbuildings

Outbuildings	Legend
No Data for Outbuildings	

Valuation History

Assessment			
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total
2024	\$625,300	\$244,000	\$869,300
2023	\$602,300	\$228,200	\$830,500
2022	\$443,500	\$193,600	\$637,100



September 26, 2025

John Cronin, Esquire
722 Chestnut Street
Manchester, NH 03104

Re: 238 White Oaks Road
Laconia NH 03087
Owners: Skyview Acres LLC

Dear Attorney Cronin:

In accordance with your request, I have viewed the subject site which currently has a single-family residence on 10.63 acres. From my review of the Zoning Board of Adjustment Variance Application dated 9/24/2025, it is my understanding that the applicant is seeking approval to build a residential development of 12 single family homes. The subject property is in a residential zone.

You have asked me to evaluate the proposed development in relation to residential properties in the immediately surrounding area to provide an opinion as to whether the completion of the proposed project would have any negative impact on the values of abutting and nearby residential properties. The immediate vicinity where the subject property is located is residential in nature and consists primarily of single-family homes of diverse sizes and styles. However, there are also townhouse style condominiums and there is or was a motorcycle club along White Oaks Road.

This is a consulting assignment. The intended use of this report is to provide information to the client regarding the applications for variances and special exceptions in the effort to receive approval from the City of Laconia Zoning Board of Adjustment for the proposed project. The intended users of this report are the owners, Skyview Acres LLC, agent Attorney John Cronin, as well as the City of Laconia Zoning Board of Adjustment.


I have visited the subject site and driven through the streets of the immediately surrounding area. It is my understanding that, as part of the design, an ample buffer of trees will be left on the sides and rear of the property. A review of the proposed plan shows that density has been substantially reduced from the original proposal to now only include 12 single family detached units.

In order to provide an opinion relative to the possible impact the proposed project might have on the values of surrounding residential properties, I researched areas where more densely populated residential developments of single-family homes (as well as condominium complexes) existed near single family detached homes. I viewed sales of homes near these existing developments and complexes and compared them to sales of similar homes that are distant from such developments. Not in any of these cases did I find that the presence of a well-planned cluster development or condominium development had any negative impact on the market values of surrounding properties.

As noted above, there are already more densely populated developments along White Oaks Road in the form of several condominium complexes.

In summary, I visited the property at 238 White Oaks Road, Laconia, NH and drove through the streets in the surrounding area. I viewed other housing projects in the region in relation to residential homes in those immediate areas. Based on my 37 years of experience as a residential appraiser in New Hampshire, coupled with my education and training, my personal inspection of the subject property and surrounding areas, and a review of residential properties in close proximity to similar housing projects, it is my opinion that the proposed use for 238 White Oaks Road, Laconia, NH will not diminish the value of surrounding residential properties.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark H. McKeon", written in a cursive style.

Mark H. McKeon, President
NHCR-03

Mr. A. Bonamo and Mrs. E. Funnell
60 White Oaks Road, Unit 20
Laconia, NH 03246
Tel: (973)-978-3113
Email: abonamo@yahoo.com

Sent by Email Only

City of Laconia Planning Department
Zoning Board
45 Beacon Street East
Laconia, NH 03246
planning@laconianh.gov

November 14, 2025

Re: 238 White Oaks Road, Laconia, NH 03246, Application No. ZB2026-018

Dear Board Members,

We submit the following in support of the above referenced application submitted by Skyview Acres, LLC. We had hoped to be able to attend the meeting on November 17, 2025 in person to express our strong support for same, but unfortunately we are unable to be present on said date. We had, in fact attended the prior meeting on October 20, 2025 in person, but appreciate that the matter was continued to the next meeting.

While we fully understand people's hesitation and concerns when it comes to the words "development" and "developers", we do not believe that the Board and the City of Laconia should shy away from it in this instance. Development is inevitable and we need to focus on the positivity it brings to the area and ensure that it is performed properly and to the highest standard.

As a couple who previously lived in Gilford, it took us over three and a half years to find a home that we liked in this area. We looked in Gilford, Meredith, Alton and Laconia. We viewed numerous developments and homes, but there was nothing we liked until we found a unit built by Mr. Grenier's company. Throughout the entire process, Mr. Grenier and the other owners of the development, including Mr. Dionne, have stood by every word they have said. Their standard of work far exceeds that of any other developer in the area and they take great care and pride in everything they do. Of significance, our development is still being completed and we can attest that Mr. Grenier is present each and every day overseeing matters and ensuring that there are no issues. There is also no time of day that we are unable to speak with Mr. Dionne and he has turned up at 9.00 p.m. on a Friday night to address what was then a minor issue. We highlight this as it is important for the City of Laconia and the residents of White Oaks Road to hear how much these developers care.

We can also attest to conversations with our neighbor who lives on the plot of land next to our unit and without any solicitation, the neighbor stated that he had many conversations with

Mr. Grenier throughout the entire process of the building of our development and he also only had positive words to say about these developers.

It is clear that the spirit of the community is important to residents on White Oaks Road, and that is what is key here. These developers are local to the area and truly care not only about the area, but also the people in it. I would urge not only the Board, but also any individual who opposes this development to consider the ramifications of obstructing this development. The City of Laconia and the residents of White Oaks Road should relish the opportunity to be able to work alongside these developers and embrace the beautiful homes they produce in a professional and community-friendly manner. We have no doubt that they will do everything possible to bring the positive elements and benefits of development to this area.

The application for just 12 single-family units will have no effect on the aesthetics, character, or spirit of White Oaks Road. Rather, the homes will enhance the area and allow the City of Laconia to continue its gentrification – something we should all embrace.

Thank you for your consideration and should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact us.

Kind regards,

/s/ Alex Bonamo

/s/ Emma Funnell

Alex Bonamo and Emma Funnell



CITY OF LACONIA
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
45 Beacon Street East
Laconia, NH 03246
Phone: (603) 527-1264
Fax: (603) 524-2167
Email: Planning@Laconianh.gov



NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

You are hereby notified of the following application to be submitted to the Laconia Zoning Board of Adjustment at its next meeting. A public hearing for each of these applications may be held following presentations by the applicants. During the public hearings, the Public may provide input on the applications. After a public hearing, the Board may deliberate and act on each application. Notification of this hearing is being made in accordance with RSA 676:7.

The application, plans, and details will be posted online at www.laconianh.gov prior to the meeting. These same documents are also available for review at the Department of Planning and Community Development at the Laconia City Hall during regular office hours. Written comments may be submitted to the Board prior to the hearing via the email and physical addresses above. This is a public meeting, and you are invited to attend.

MEETING INFORMATION

Date: MONDAY OCTOBER 20TH, 2025

Time: 6:30PM

Location: CITY HALL, 45 BEACON STREET EAST, ROOM 200A

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION #ZB2026-018 VAR

Owner(s) of Record: SKYVIEW ACRES LLC

Applicant(s): SKYVIEW ACRES LLC

Parcel Address: 238 WHITE OAKS RD

Parcel ID: 255/24/2

Zoning District: RR2 RESIDENTIAL RURAL 2

Ordinance Cited: §235-25 USES PERMITTED BY RIGHT, 235 ATTACHMENT 2 TABLE OF DIMENSIONALL REGULATIONS & § 235-17 WETLANDS CONSERVATION AND WATER QAULITY OVERLAY.

Proposal: The applicant is seeking a variance from §235-25 Uses Permitted by Right ,235 Attachment 2 table of dimensional regulations, 235-17 Wetlands conservation and water quality overlay to allow for 12 single family residences.



RECEIVED

DEC 17 2025

City of Laconia
Planning & ZoningApplication #:
Fees Paid:
Check #:
Receipt #:ZB2026-028
\$125.00
849
711149ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MOTION FOR REHEARING

Name of Applicant SCOTT BERRY + PATRICIA MARCHETTI
Mailing Address P.O. Box 5243, LACONIA NH 03247
Owner (If same as applicant, write "same"): WEBRON LLC (SAME PEOPLE AS ABOVE)
Mailing Address: SAME
Tax Map Lot # (s): 278-28 Zoning District (s): CR/PR1
Street Address: 43 White Oaks Rd, LACONIA NH 03246

OWNER AUTHORIZATIONS & STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE:

I hereby make application to the City of Laconia for the above-referenced property (ies) and the development as described. To the best of my knowledge the information provided herein is accurate and is in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance and land use regulations of the City, except where waivers are requested. The City of Laconia Zoning Board and/or city employees are authorized to enter the property (ies) for purposes of reviewing this proposal and for inspecting improvements as a result of an approval of this proposal. I understand that I am responsible for appearing, or having someone appear on my behalf, at any and all meetings before the Zoning Board.

Sign as appropriate (If agent or non-person please attach certification)

NOTE: Please attach an Applicant Contact Worksheet

PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SCOTT BERRY + PATRICIA MARCHETTIPrinted Name Here Webron LLC.

Signature of Property Owner(s)

12.17.25

Date

AGENT(S)

Printed Name Here

Signature of Agent(s)

Date

Rehearing Request for Application #: ZB2026-022

As provided for by RSA 677:2, any party to the action or any person directly affected thereby may move for a rehearing in respect to any matter determined in the decision and must specify such grounds in the motion for rehearing. The Zoning Board of Adjustment may grant a rehearing if, in the opinion of the Board, there is sufficient reason presented in the applicant's motion for rehearing.

SUBMIT RESPONSE IN ACCORDANCE ARTICLE XI SECTION 235-69 C (7) AND RSA 677:2

DUE AT SUBMISSION

10 COPIES OF ENTIRE ZONING BOARD APPLICATION WITH REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

Scott Berry-Patricia Marchetti / Webron LLC
43 White Oaks Rd
Laconia NH 03246
603-289-4515 & 339-222-0471 Trish@HousesByTrish.com
December 17, 2025

Zoning Board of Adjustment

Dear Members of the Zoning Board,

I am writing regarding **Application #ZB2026-022** as an abutter to the subject property located at 33 White Oaks Rd, Laconia. We are concerned about the variance that was granted on November 17th, 2025 and the impact it will have on our property.

The approved variance allows for the construction of three additional homes (refer to house lot 6 on the map) to be built significantly closer to our property boundary line. This approval directly affects our property's privacy and may negatively impact its value and overall enjoyment.

Due to an incorrect mailing address on file with the Assessor's Office, we did not receive notice of the meeting and were therefore unable to attend or voice our concerns prior to the variance being granted.

While we understand and respect our neighbor's desire to develop their property, it is equally important to consider the rights and impacts on surrounding abutters. In this case, the granted variance creates a substantial and lasting effect on our property.

For these reasons, we respectfully request that the Board reconsider the decision regarding this variance so that exhibit A is allowed and exhibit B is not granted.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We appreciate the Board's attention to this matter and the opportunity to be heard.

Respectfully,

Scott Berry and Patricia Marchetti



RECEIVED

OCT 24 2025

City of Laconia
Planning/Zoning

Application #:
Fees Paid:
Check #:
Receipt #:

282026-022
\$ 205.00
53247

**ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
VARIANCE APPLICATION**

Name of Applicant: Scott Buonopane
Mailing Address: 217 Middlesex Turnpike, Burlington, Massachusetts
Owner (If same as applicant, write "same"): Daniel A. Greenhalgh
Mailing Address: 18 Tenney Road, Pelham, NH, 03076
Tax Map/ Lot # (s): 278/241/29 Zoning District (s): CR / RR-1
Street Address: 33 White Oaks Road

OWNER AUTHORIZATIONS & STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE:

I hereby make application to the City of Laconia for the above-referenced property (ies) and the development as described. To the best of my knowledge the information provided herein is accurate and is in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance and land use regulations of the City, except where waivers are requested. The City of Laconia Zoning Board and/or city employees are authorized to enter the property (ies) for purposes of reviewing this proposal and for inspecting improvements as a result of an approval of this proposal. I understand that I am responsible for appearing, or having someone appear on my behalf, at any and all meetings before the Zoning Board.

Sign as appropriate (If agent or non-person please attach certification)

NOTE: Please attach an Applicant Contact Worksheet

PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Daniel Greenhalgh

Printed Name Here

Signature of Property Owner(s)

Date

AGENT(S)

Ari B. Pollack, Esq.

Printed Name Here

CB.
Signature of Agent(s)

10.23.25
Date

NOTE: This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if the space provided is inadequate.

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS:

- Abutters List (from <https://www.axisgis.com/LaconiaNH/>)
- Envelopes and Certified Mail Receipts (Filled out for all abutters)
- Plot Plan (Showing dimensions of proposed building, setback requirements, and encroachments into any setbacks.)

DUE AT SUBMISSION:

10 COPIES OF ENTIRE ZONING BOARD APPLICATION WITH REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

A variance is requested from article 235 section 15.C(2) of the Zoning Ordinance to permit Application of the CR District dimensional requirements for a distance of 500' from the District boundary whereas 100' is otherwise allowed (See, Exhibits A and B)

Facts in support of granting the Variance:

1. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:

The majority of the buildable areas are located in the CR District and the surrounding areas are buffered by undevelopable wetlands.

2. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because:

The majority of the buildable lot area is located in the CR District, which allows for higher density.

3. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because:

The resultant development will be cohesive and apply one set of standards versus a disjointed layout separated by an arbitrary zoning divide.

4. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:

The majority of the site will be single family homes built per CR District requirements, and match surrounding properties.

5. Unnecessary Hardship

a. Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:

i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

The 100' extension provision sets an arbitrary measurement which, as applied, awkwardly divides the subject parcel and would result in two different development programs on a single lot.

ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:

The effect of the variance would be having one set of restrictions apply to all of the buildable land as opposed to two different standards applying uniformly across the parcel.


-or-

b. If the criteria in subparagraph (a) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

The subject property is distinguishable by size and having an area orphaned between the CR District and undevelopable wetlands. A variance is necessary to establish a uniform set of restrictions that would apply to the whole development and produce a typical residential development.

33 WHITE OAKS RD
LACONIA, NEW HAMPSHIRE

562 202126 = 151
13 + 2 = 15

[illegible]


CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN 1

EMP 2598-6Z (70-640)

Tighe & Bond
177 Corporate Drive
Portsmouth, NH 03801
(603) 433-8818

33 WHITE OAKS RD
LACONIA, NEW HAMPSHIRE

550634
P210 87
-XIN

[illegible]

NOTES:

1. IT IS ASSUMED THIS LOT CAN ACCESS MUNICIPAL WATER AND SEWER SERVICES
2. THERE WILL BE SUBDIVISIONS
3. NO FILING NUMBER IS REQUIRED AND THE CURRENTLY DISTINGUISHED LOT SEASON